



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Regulatory Branch
1455 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94103-1398

SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT

PUBLIC NOTICE

Project: Procedure for issuing Letter of Permissions for Gravel Extraction in Humboldt County (LOP 2009)

NUMBER: 2007-00857

DATE: February 19, 2009

RESPONSE REQUIRED BY: April 1, 2009

PROJECT MANAGER: Kelley Reid

PHONE: 707-443-0855

Email: Kelley.E.Reid@spd02.usace.army.mil

1. **INTRODUCTION:** Since 1996, the U.S. Army Corps (Corps) of Engineers has provided a Letter of Permission procedure (for example the LOP 96-1 and LOP 2004-1) for granting expedited permits to the gravel companies in Humboldt County, California. The most recent LOP procedure has expired. The Corps proposes to modify the Letter of Permission procedure (LOP 2004-1) for the authorization of work described herein. The purpose of the LOP procedure is to streamline Section 404 Clean Water Act (CWA) (33 U.S.C. 1344) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403) authorization for excavation and related work not posing significant adverse individual or cumulative impacts to the aquatic environment.

Under the LOP 2009, and the LOP 2004-1 before, each gravel operator complies with the standardized procedures described herein, and receives a specific Letter of Permission and a Modification in subsequent years. The site-specific LOPs issued to authorize the individual work items will continue to contain limitations intended to protect the environment and natural and cultural resources. In cases where the District Engineer (DE) considers it necessary, applications will be required for individual permits.

The enclosed LOP 2009 details the scope and location of work, terms and conditions, and application procedures pertinent to obtaining a Department of the Army LOP under the procedure. In addition, it lists special conditions and

monitoring activities that will be required to provide consistent information for decision making within this process.

The actions authorized by this LOP include certain activities at project areas, during extraction seasons, that will enhance habitat for salmonids and other riverine species. The specific details of such habitat enhancement activities shall be determined during, and follow, the same interagency pre-extraction design review process that is used for gravel extraction operations. Many of the habitat enhancement activities shall be consistent in scope, size and cost impact as restoration activities that have occurred in the past under LOP-2004. These activities included, but were not limited to, trenching designed to improve salmon migration, alcove construction, placement of edge water large woody debris, and construction of wetland pits to improve aquatic and riparian habitat. Some habitat enhancement activities will be new to this LOP, including, but not limited to, riparian planting and strategic placement of large wood and boulders in the stream.

The biological assessment (BA) under preparation for this procedure is expected to provide a more detailed description of activities and assessment of effects of habitat enhancement activities (as well as gravel extraction activities). The extent of habitat enhancement activities will be estimated based, in part, on accomplishments under the LOP-2004, the

previous version, as well as operational feasibility during the 5 year implementation period of the LOP 2009. In determining a rough target for enhancement activities, the BA will list and quantify the habitat improvement activities that were accomplished under LOP-2004, and describe additional, reach-specific habitat improvement activities covered under the LOP 2009. This procedure assumes and authorizes that habitat improvement projects of like kind, nature and quantity would occur in the future. Please see Appendix A for typical habitat improvement activities.

Please note Appendix A, which details typical types of habitat improvement projects, and Appendix N, which provides a draft checklist for ensuring that the applicant provides a complete proposal and complies with the LOP. Other river-reach specific appendices will discuss habitat improvement needs.

PUBLIC REVIEW: Any person may make a comment on the proposed modifications to the LOP procedure. Written comments should be submitted to the Corps of Engineers, Attn: Kelley Reid, 601 Startare Drive, Slip 14, Eureka, California, 95501. Telephone inquiries may be directed to Mr. Kelley Reid at (707) 443-0855, or you can e-mail the Corps at: kelley.reid@usace.army.mil.

3. COMPLIANCE WITH VARIOUS FEDERAL LAWS:

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA): The Corps will assess the environmental impacts of the proposed action in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. Section 4371 et. seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality's Regulations (40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508), and the Corps' Regulations (33 C.F.R. Part 230 and Part 325, Appendix B). Unless otherwise stated, the Environmental Assessment will describe only the impacts (direct, indirect, and cumulative) resulting from activities within the Corps' jurisdiction. The documents used in the preparation of the Environmental Assessment will

be on file with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, Regulatory Branch, 1455 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94103-1398.

Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA): Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act requires formal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) if a Corps permitted project may adversely affect any Federally listed threatened or endangered species or its designated critical habitat. The Corps will request formal consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on effects to endangered species.

Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act: Essential Fish Habitat - The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act requires all Federal agencies to consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) on all actions, or proposed actions permitted by the agency that may adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat (EFH). The Corps will initiate consultation with respect to EFH concurrently with ESA consultation.

Clean Water Act of 1972 (CWA):

Water Quality: Under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. Section 1341), an applicant for a Corps permit must first obtain a State water quality certification before a Corps permit may be issued. No Corps permit will be granted until the applicant obtains the required water quality certification. The Corps may assume a waiver of water quality certification if the State fails or refuses to act on a valid request for certification within 60 days after the receipt of a valid request, unless the District Engineer determines a shorter or longer period is reasonable for the State to act.

Those parties concerned with any water quality issue that may be associated with this project should write to the Executive Officer, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, California

94612 by the close of the comment period of this Public Notice.

Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (CZMA): Section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act requires the applicant to certify that the proposed project is consistent with the State's Coastal Zone Management Program, if applicable. A portion of the project area is within the Coastal Zone, most of the extraction areas are not. The LOP 2009 will likely be issued before the Coastal Commission provides the gravel operators the Coastal Consistency determination, but those gravel operators will not be granted their specific LOP until they have the coastal permit.

National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA): The rivers, especially the extraction surfaces, are dynamic areas; if there had been cultural or historic resources at any of the sites, they have been disturbed by historic extraction efforts or the effects of the river reworking the bars. If unrecorded resources are discovered during construction of the project, operations will be suspended until the Corps completes consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (WSR) of 1968: Section 7(a) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1278, et seq.) provides that no department or agency of the United States shall assist by permit any water resources project that would have a direct and adverse effect on the values for which such river was established, as determined by the Secretary charged with its administration. Most of the river reaches covered under the LOP2009 are private property and thereby administered by the National Park Service. Some of the river reaches are on U.S. Forest Service, or partially on Forest Service property and thereby administered by that agency. By a copy of this public notice, the Corps is requesting consultation with the Forest Service and National Park Service regarding the possible effects to the free flowing nature of designated rivers and their outstandingly remarkable values (ORV).

4. PUBLIC INTEREST EVALUATION: The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact, including cumulative impact, of the proposed activity on the public interest. That decision will reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. The benefits that reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposed activity must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors that may be relevant to the proposal will be considered, including its cumulative effects. Among those factors are: conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, historic properties, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shoreline erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership, and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people.

5. CONSIDERATION OF COMMENTS: The Corps of Engineers is soliciting comments from the public, Federal, State and local agencies and officials, Indian Tribes, and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this proposed activity. Any comments received will be considered by the Corps to determine whether to issue, condition or deny a permit for this proposal. To make this decision, comments are used to assess impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, general environmental effects, and the other public interest factors listed above. Comments are used in the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. Comments are also used to determine the need for a public hearing and to determine the overall public interest in the proposed activity.

6. SUBMISSION OF COMMENTS: Interested parties may submit, in writing, any comments concerning this activity. Comments should include the applicant's name and the number and the date of

this Public Notice, and should be forwarded so as to reach this office within the comment period specified on Page 1. Comments should be sent to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, Regulatory Branch, 1455 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94103-1398. It is the Corps' policy to forward any such comments that include objections to the applicant for resolution or rebuttal. Any person may also request, in writing, within the comment period of this Public Notice that a public hearing be held to consider this application. Requests for public hearings shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a public hearing. Additional details may be obtained by contacting the applicant whose name and address are indicated in the first paragraph of this Public Notice or by contacting Kelley Reid of our office at telephone 707-443-0855 or E-mail: Kelley.e.reid@usace.army.mil. Details on any changes of a minor nature that are made in the final permit action will be provided upon request.

**LETTER OF PERMISSION PROCEDURE (LOP 2009) FOR
GRAVEL MINING AND EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES WITHIN**

HUMBOLDT COUNTY

Interested parties are hereby notified that, in accordance with Title 33 CFR 325.2(e), published in the Federal Register, November 13, 1986, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District (Corps) has adopted a Letter of Permission (LOP) procedure for the authorization of work described herein.

The purpose of the LOP procedure (LOP 2009) is to streamline Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 authorizations for gravel mining and extraction activities in Humboldt County that do not pose significant adverse individual or cumulative impacts.

The letters of permission (LOPs) to be issued under this procedure will contain special conditions intended to protect the environment and natural and cultural resources. In cases where the District Engineer (DE) considers it necessary, applications will be evaluated for individual permits.

SCOPE OF WORK:

Work authorized by LOP or modification letter under this procedure is limited to discharges of dredged or fill material associated with gravel mining activities in waters of the United States, including navigable waters of the United States, within Humboldt County, California. Activities that may be authorized under this procedure include, but are not limited to, sand and gravel mining and work associated with these activities, such as temporary stock piling of gravel in a dry section of the stream, associated salmonid habitat improvement activities, and construction of road crossings. Impacts to waters of the United States, including wetlands, shall be avoided or minimized through the use of practicable alternatives. Reasonable compensation for unavoidable adverse impacts to waters of the United States will be required. Work that would have unmitigatable adverse impacts on the aquatic environment or would cause a substantial reduction in the extent of waters of the United States will not be authorized by LOP. The activities authorized under LOP 2009 shall be part of a single and complete project.

EVALUATION PROCEDURES:

Gravel operations at the locations described in Appendix F are eligible for authorization under the LOP 2009. Prospective applicants should apply for a permit early enough that the Corps can request and obtain a tiering letter from the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), if necessary, before the gravel season begins. All applicants shall submit complete applications, after consulting with the CHERT (County of Humboldt Extraction Review Team), to the Corps and NMFS for review to determine whether the excavation activity qualifies under the LOP 2009. CHERT will help identify areas of concern and locations for cross-section monitoring. If the activity qualifies under the LOP 2009, it will be granted an LOP for the duration of this procedure, pending annual confirmations by LOP modification letters. Each permittee must also submit yearly monitoring data regarding

extraction amounts, cross-sectional information, biological monitoring, and aerial photos.

Each spring, the Corps shall invite the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), NMFS, USFWS, California Coastal Commission (CCC), California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) to an interagency evaluation and coordination meeting to review new applications and yearly compliance data of previously authorized activities.

Should an agency or member of the public object to continuing an activity under an existing authorization, based on evidence of non-compliance or evidence of more than minimal impacts, the Corps may suspend and/or revoke the existing authorization and require an individual permit unless the permittee can demonstrate compliance with the LOP. The permittee may also be required to reduce the future impacts of its operations to minimal impacts and mitigate for past non-compliance.

The general time line for the LOP 2009 is stated below. Biological monitoring dates are listed in Appendix D.

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| FEB 1 | CHERT annual report that evaluates the past extractions may in part rely on the monitoring reports. |
| SPRING | Gravel Week: the regulating agencies meet to review permit applications and compliance. No specific date is established for the annual meeting.

Aerial orthographic photos to be taken.

Gravel extraction plans along with CHERT recommendations shall be submitted to the Corps and NMFS at the earliest possible date and will be reviewed in the order received. |
| JUN 1 | Earliest extraction. |
| JUN 30 | Earliest construction of temporary channel crossings. |
| SEP 20-
OCT 7 | Post extraction orthographic aerial photos to be taken. |
| OCT 1 | Gravel stockpiled on river bars must be removed on a daily basis after October 1. Each day thereafter, extraction sites shall be groomed and graded to drain freely at the end of each working day. |
| OCT 15 | All channel crossings must be removed. Regrading must be completed for all gravel bars. All gravel extraction ceases on river bars, unless an approved river flow monitoring plan is enacted and a time extension granted. |
| NOV 1-
FEB 28 | Plant mitigation areas. Post-extraction aerial photos are delivered to the Corps, CHERT, and NMFS. |

- DEC 1 Post-extraction cross section data and biological monitoring data submitted to Corps, NMFS and CHERT except biological monitoring data gathered in November and December.
- DEC 31 Mitigation monitoring reports due to Corps, NMFS, and CHERT. Biological monitoring data gathered in November and/or December submitted to Corps and CHERT.

TERMS OF LOP 2009:

Projects authorized under this procedure are subject to the following terms. The terms on gravel extraction for this procedure have been expanded relative to those in the original LOP 96-1 to reflect new information and concerns. They also require closer coordination between the Corps, NMFS, and CHERT in project review and approval. The Corps has the right to add or modify terms as appropriate. Modifications to excavation procedures may be made to increase fisheries and wildlife habitat with Corps approval.

1. All applicants shall use the CHERT process for annual review and recommendations.

CHERT is a critical part of this LOP procedure. In addition to making recommendations to the operators, CHERT also provides the Corps and NMFS with a summary of its rationale supporting the preferred alternative. Gravel extraction proposals shall include a summary of the rationale supporting how the CHERT recommendation does not increase channel braiding and promotes channel confinement, and does not increase the risk of adult salmonid stranding or decrease riffle and redd stability.

2. Minimum head of bar buffer

The upstream end of the bar (head of bar) shall not be mined or otherwise altered by the proposed action. The minimum head of the bar shall be defined as that portion of the bar that extends from at least the upper third of the bar to the upstream end of the bar that is exposed at summer low flow. Therefore, the upstream one-third portion of the bar as exposed at summer low flow is provided as the minimum head of bar buffer. The intent of the head of bar buffer is to provide protection of the natural stream flow steering effect provided by an undisturbed bar.

Some alternative extraction techniques, such as longer and much narrower skims adjacent to the low flow channel, have specific geomorphic objectives that may require extraction on a portion of the head of bar buffer. Variances to the minimum head of bar buffer may be considered on a case-by-case basis, if the proposed alternative provides equal or greater protection. NMFS will inform the Corps and CHERT if a proposed variance does not comply with the terms of the Incidental Take Statement. The specific nature of the proposed variance must be described, along with sufficient biological, hydrological, and sediment transport rationale to support the recommended alternative. For example, any modification in the default head-of-bar buffer dimensions should, at a minimum, provide for protection of the adjacent cross-over riffle, by limiting extraction to the area downstream of the riffle. In addition, NMFS may impose special requirements, including additional monitoring on approved variances to the minimum head of

bar buffer, to insure there is no take beyond what is allowed in the Incidental Take Statement of the biological opinion.

3. The minimum skim floor elevation shall be at least the water surface elevation of the 35% exceedence flow.

The minimum skim floor elevation shall be the elevation of the water surface at the 35% exceedence flow for each site, on an annual basis. Instructions for determining, marking and reporting the water surface elevation of the 35% exceedence flow are available from NMFS. See the contact information in the Submittals section beginning on page 12.

Additionally, the water surface elevation of the 35% exceedence flow shall be marked on the gravel bar and indicated on the cross section survey data.

To aid compliance with these setbacks, the area of extraction shall be clearly flagged, painted, or staked. Excavated material shall be skimmed off the surface. Other methods of excavation, such as trenching, may be approved by the Corps, however, these alternative designs will be discussed with other resource agencies (e.g., NMFS, CDFG) and CHERT prior to submitting the extraction plans in the spring.

4. Temporary channel crossings.

a. *Design and construction:* The location, construction and removal of all temporary channel crossings must be reviewed by CHERT for conformance with these guidelines and described in the CHERT recommendation. Crossings will be designed and installed to minimize turbidity and geomorphic impacts from bridge construction, bridge use and bridge removal. Factors to consider include habitat quality, channel width, length of available bridges, required bridge width, water depth and velocity, amount of fine sediment in the native gravel and the availability of washed rock.

- Main channels must be spanned to the maximum length practicable using either a flatcar or bridge span. Appropriate culverts may be approved for use in secondary channels on a case-by-case basis.
- Heavy equipment passes across the wetted channel during temporary channel crossing construction and removal will be kept to an absolute minimum and described in the CHERT recommendation. Heavy equipment passes shall be limited to two passes per bridge construction and two passes per removal.
- Native gravel can be used for bridge approaches and abutments if the bridge will completely span the wetted channel, and the abutment materials are removed and regraded onto approved sites upon bridge removal.
- Use of brow logs, concrete blocks, concrete K-rails or other suitable materials shall be used in temporary abutments to minimize the amount of sediment required for abutments or approach ramps.

- If encroachment into the low flow channel is necessary to span the wetted channel, then approach ramps shall be constructed using techniques that will reduce the input of fine sediment into the channel. These techniques could include a base of washed rock or cobbles on the access side of the stream. The base shall extend from the bed of the stream to six inches above the water surface at construction time. This base can be topped with native gravel. Alternatively, if washed rock is not readily available, native gravel used in wetted approaches and abutments may be lined with filter fabric and surrounded with K-rails. Other methods that would provide equal or superior protection from turbidity impacts may be suggested by the operator and presented for review and recommendation by CHERT and NMFS. Other methods may be approved if they meet the objective of minimizing sediment delivery to the low-flow channel.

- Upon bridge removal, the original channel configuration shall be restored to the fullest extent feasible.

b. *Timing:* Temporary crossings shall be placed after June 30 only. All crossings and associated fills must be removed after excavation ceases, but before September 15 for the Mad River and before October 15 for all other rivers. The Corps shall provide NMFS a copy of any request for a time extension for bridge construction or removal for its review before the time extension may be authorized by the Corps, due to the sensitivity of working directly within the wetted channel. It is not expected that extensions will be granted if California Coastal Chinook (CC Chinook) salmon adults have entered the extraction reach.

c. *Location:* Bridge locations shall avoid known spawning areas. The middle of riffles may provide the best location for temporary crossings since the bridge may be able to span the entire wetted channel. Where bridges are not able to span the entire wetted channel, the crossing location shall be determined on a site-specific basis. The proposed location, and rationale used to determine how the crossing location minimizes effects to salmonids, shall be included in the CHERT recommendation. Haul roads shall follow the shortest route possible while avoiding sensitive areas such as riparian vegetation. If excessive compaction is identified, the roads shall be scarified after extraction is complete.

5. Storage and stockpiles

Temporary storage of excavated material may occur on the gravel bar, but must be removed by October 1. Temporary stockpiling of gravel on bars that are on rivers listed under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (see Appendix B) may occur during the active work week, Monday through Friday, but must be removed before Saturday of each weekend.

In order to minimize the turbidity associated with excavating wet sediment, all wet excavated sediment must be stockpiled on the gravel bar away from the low flow channel and allowed to drain prior to hauling across the temporary channel crossing.

6. Vegetation and wetlands

All riparian woody vegetation and wetlands must be avoided to the maximum extent possible. Any riparian vegetation or wetland that is to be disturbed must be clearly identified by mapping. Woody vegetation that is part of a contiguous 1/8-acre complex or is at least 2 inches diameter

that is disturbed must be mitigated. Impacts to other woody vegetation must be described and submitted to the Corps and CHERT with the gravel extraction plans. These impacts may require mitigation at the discretion of the Corps. Impacted areas that must be mapped consist of riparian vegetation that have driplines within 25 feet of excavation activities (excavation, stockpiling, parking, etc.) or wetlands, which are filled, excavated or drained. Mitigation for impacts to woody vegetation shall not be required for pre-existing haul roads, stockpile areas and facilities (see discussion under Required Mitigation).

7. Structure setbacks

Gravel removal must remain a minimum distance of 500 feet from any structure (i.e. bridge, water intake, dam, etc.) in the river. For bridges, the minimum setback distance is the length of the bridge or 500 feet, whichever is greater. Gravel removal may encroach within this setback if written approval is given by owners of these structures and approved by the Corps. A copy of written approvals shall be provided to the Corps.

8. Regrading

The project area must be regraded, if necessary, before the water levels rise in the rainy season. Grading must be completed by October 15 each year. Regrading includes filling in depressions, grading the construction/excavation site according to the approved configuration, leaving the area in a free-draining configuration (no depressions and sloping toward the low flow channel), and removing all temporary fills from the project area. Regrading may not be necessary if extraction operations leave the extraction area free of depressions and temporary fills and meet the approved mining configuration.

9. Timing of extraction

Unless the operator's LOP is specifically modified, gravel extraction shall cease by October 15 each year. Regrading, if necessary, shall be completed prior to October 15 each year. Requests for a time extension will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. The applicant, however, must have regraded the site before an extension can be authorized. Requests for an extension must include an approved CDFG Stream Alteration Agreement (SAA) extension or exemption. The Corps will coordinate with CHERT and NMFS before a decision is made on the time extension. Also note water crossing timing terms described above.

10. Wild and Scenic Rivers

Sections of the Eel, Klamath, Trinity, and Van Duzen rivers in Humboldt County are designated recreational and scenic. For a list of these recreational and scenic river sections see Appendix B. Temporary stockpiling of gravel on bars that are on rivers listed under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (see Appendix B) may occur during the active work week, Monday through Friday, but must be removed before Saturday of each weekend.

11. Endangered Species

All applicants shall submit, as part of the application, a written assessment by a qualified

biologist describing the potential effects of the project on federally threatened, endangered, or proposed species under the Endangered Species Act. This assessment shall include, at a minimum, an account of habitat suitability within a 0.25 mile radius of the project site, and pertinent sighting information from available sources including, but not limited to, wildlife sighting databases maintained by CDFG and USFWS.

There is a potential for gravel operations downstream of the confluence of the Eel River and the Van Duzen River to adversely affect the western snowy plover. Appendix E contains requirements necessary to assure the extraction activities (including pre-season surveys) are not likely to adversely affect the western snowy plover.

There is a potential for operations anywhere in the rivers and streams of Humboldt County to adversely affect SONCC coho salmon, CC Chinook salmon and NC steelhead, which are Federally-listed threatened Evolutional Significant Units (species). Appendix M contains the **obsolete** Incidental Take Statement from the Biological Opinion from NMFS, dated August 13, 2004, and will be replaced by the most recent BO, when completed. The reasonable and prudent measure contains restrictions which are mandatory conditions of the LOP 2009.

12. Habitat Enhancement and Protection

The actions authorized by this LOP are expected to include certain activities at gravel extraction sites, during extraction seasons, that will enhance habitat for salmonids and other riverine species. The specific details of such habitat enhancement activities shall be determined during, and follow, the same multiagency pre-extraction design review process that is used for gravel extraction operations. Many of the habitat enhancement activities shall be consistent in scope, size and cost impact as restoration activities that have occurred in the past under LOP-2004. These activities included, but were not limited to, trenching designed to improve salmon migration, alcove construction, placement of edge water large woody debris, and construction of wetland pits to improve aquatic and riparian habitat. Some habitat enhancement activities will be new to this LOP, including, but not limited to, riparian planting and strategic placement of large wood and boulders in the stream.

The biological assessment (BA) under preparation for this procedure is expected to provide a more detailed description of activities and assessment of effects of habitat enhancement activities (as well as gravel extraction activities). The extent of habitat enhancement activities will be estimated based, in part, on accomplishments under the LOP-2004, the previous version, as well as operational feasibility during the 5 year implementation period of the LOP **2009**. In determining a rough target for enhancement activities, the BA shall list and quantify the habitat improvement activities that were accomplished under LOP-2004, and describe additional, **voluntary**, reach-specific habitat improvement activities that are **covered** under the LOP**2009**. This procedure assumes and authorizes that habitat improvement projects of like kind, nature and quantity would occur in the future. Please see Appendix A and the appendices specific to the river reaches for typical habitat improvement activities.

Although the habitat improvement activities (HIA) may provide substantial benefits to the salmonids and other aquatic organisms, the HIA are incidental to the extraction activities and shall not be made a condition of a permit or annual approval.

Large woody debris (LWD) in the wetted channel and on floodplains and terraces is an important component of aquatic and riparian habitat. However, it is common practice for LWD to be gathered by local residents for firewood and other uses. To reduce the adverse effects of this longstanding practice, educational signing regarding the importance of LWD for salmonids shall be placed at access roads owned, controlled, or utilized by the gravel operators. In addition, in order to protect LWD deposited on mined gravel bars, all access roads owned or controlled by commercial gravel operators shall be gated and locked to reduce access; the County shall be exempt from this requirement. Operators should consult with NMFS for suggestions on the wording and design of this sign.

13. General Conditions. (This section had previously been appendix A)

1. The Department of the Army relies in part on the information provided by the permittee. If, subsequent to issuing this permit, such information proves to be false, incomplete, or inaccurate, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked, in whole or in part.
2. Permittees whose projects are authorized by this procedure shall comply with all terms and conditions herein. Failure to abide by such conditions invalidates the authorization and may result in a violation of the law, requiring restoration of the site or other remedial action.
3. An LOP should not be considered as an approval of the design features of any authorized project or an implication that such is considered adequate for the purpose intended. A Department of the Army permit merely expresses the consent of the Federal Government to the proposed work insofar as public rights are concerned. This permit does not authorize any damage to private property, invasion of private rights, or any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations. Nor does it relieve the permittee from the requirement to obtain a local permit from the jurisdiction within which the project is located and to address all non-encroachment restrictions within a floodway of such local jurisdiction as identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.
4. This LOP procedure may be modified or suspended in whole or in part if it is determined that the individual or cumulative impacts of work that would be authorized using this procedure are contrary to the public interest. The authorization for individual projects may also be summarily modified, suspended, or revoked, in whole or in part, upon a finding by the District Engineer that immediate suspension of the project would be in the public interest.
5. Any modification, suspension or revocation of the District Engineer's authorization shall not be the basis for any claim for damages against the United States.
6. This permit does not authorize the interference with any existing or proposed Federal project, and the permittee shall not be entitled to compensation for damage or injury to the structures or activities authorized herein which may result from existing or future operations undertaken by the United States in the public interest.
7. No attempt shall be made by the permittee to prevent the full and free public use of all navigable waters of the United States, at or adjacent to the project authorized herein.

8. There shall be no unreasonable interference with navigation by the existence or use of the permanent and temporary structures authorized herein.

9. The permittee shall make every reasonable effort to conduct the activities authorized herein in a manner that will minimize any adverse impact of the work on water quality, fish and wildlife, and the natural environment, including adverse impacts to migratory waterfowl breeding areas, spawning areas, and riparian areas.

10. The permittee shall allow the District Engineer and his authorized representative(s) to make periodic inspections at any time deemed necessary to assure that the activity being performed under this authorization is in accordance with the terms and conditions prescribed herein.

11. The impact of activities authorized by LOP using this procedure on cultural resources listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), shall be taken into account by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) prior to the initiation of work. If previously unknown cultural resources are encountered during work authorized by this permit, the San Francisco District shall be notified and the sites avoided until the Corps can assess their eligibility for listing in the NRHP. Sites determined to be eligible for listing in the NRHP shall require consultation between the Corps and the State Historic Preservation Office and/or the Advisory Council on Historic Places. Cultural resources include prehistoric and historic archeological sites, and areas or structures of cultural interest which occur in the permit area.

12. All temporary fills within waters of the U.S. shall be removed in their entirety.

13. All extraction activities in the vicinity of federal projects shall be coordinated for required setback distances with the Corps office prior to application for a permit.

14. Heavy equipment working in wetlands shall be placed on mats, or other measures shall be taken to minimize disturbances to soil.

15. No authorization will be granted under this LOP procedure for any activity that is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a threatened or endangered species or a species proposed for such designation, as identified under the Endangered Species Act, or that is likely to destroy or adversely modify the critical habitat of such species. Permittees shall notify the District Engineer if any listed species, proposed species or critical habitat might be affected by, or is in the vicinity of, the project, and shall not begin work until notified by the District Engineer that the requirements of the Endangered Species Act have been satisfied and that the activity is authorized.

16. The project shall not significantly disrupt the movement of those species of aquatic life indigenous to the water body or those species that normally migrate through the project area.

14. Special Conditions.

Additional special conditions may be added to individual LOPs on a case-by-case basis to

minimize adverse impacts to the aquatic ecosystem and to the scenic and recreational values of the river reaches listed in the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Modifications to excavation procedures may be made to increase fisheries and wildlife habitat with Corps approval.

In addition to terms discussed above, projects authorized by LOP are subject to the general conditions contained in Appendix A and any special conditions that may be added.

AUTHORIZATIONS FROM OTHER AGENCIES:

The permittee is responsible for obtaining any and all additional federal, state, tribal, or local permits that may be required, which include, but are not limited to:

1. **STATE WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION:** In order for an operator's LOP to be valid, he/she must obtain a water quality certification pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act from the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). For operations within the boundaries of a federally recognized Indian Reservation, see #5 below.

The state of California has adopted general National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits to cover those mining activities which must obtain permits to discharge stormwater associated with industrial activity - as defined in 40 CFR Section 122.26(b)(14). For information about NPDES requirements, applicants can contact the RWQCB, North Coast Region, at 5550 Skylane Boulevard, Suite A, Santa Rosa, California 95403.

2. When streambed materials such as sand and gravel are to be disturbed or removed from waters in the state of California, the permittee must obtain a Streambed Alteration Agreement from the CDFG, except when working within the boundaries of a federally recognized Indian Reservation (see #5 below). The permittee can contact the CDFG at California Department of Fish and Game, Region 1, 601 Locust Street, Redding, California 96001.

3. All gravel and mining operations must either be permitted by or exempted by the California Department of Conservation Division of Mines and Geology's Lead Agency (Lead Agency), except for work within the boundaries of a Federally recognized Indian Reservation (see #5 below). The Lead Agency for Humboldt County is: Humboldt County Department of Community Services, 3015 H Street, Eureka, California 95501. Failure to provide proof of a conditional use permit, vested rights or exemption letter will preclude use of the LOP 2009.

4. Sand and gravel extraction and other development activities located within the Coastal Zone may require a Coastal Development Permit and a Coastal Zone Management Act Consistency Concurrence from either the California Coastal Commission (CCC) located at 45 Fremont Street, Suite 2000, San Francisco, California 94105-2219, or the County of Humboldt Planning and Building Department located at 3015 H Street, Eureka, California 95501.

5. Activities within the boundaries of a federally recognized Indian Reservation need to obtain a water quality certification from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or from the Indian Reservation (if it is authorized by the EPA to grant water quality certifications). In addition, there may be other permits required by the Indian Reservation that are not listed

here. The applicant shall contact the appropriate Indian Reservation for more information.

6. Activities that occur below the mean high water mark on tidal waterways and below the ordinary high water mark on non-tidal waterways may have to obtain easements from or pay fees to the California State Lands Commission (SLC). The SLC can be contacted at 100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100 South, Sacramento, California 95825-8202, or reached at (916) 574-1800.

7. U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) is the Federal agency with permitting authority and regulatory jurisdiction for bridges, pursuant to the General Bridge Act. The USCG will provide the applicant with a USCG jurisdictional determination and directions for additional bridge permitting issues, if any. The USCG can be contacted at Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District, Bridge Section, Bldg. 50-3, Coast Guard Island, Alameda, CA, 94501-5100, or by telephone at (510) 437-3514.

APPLICATION PROCEDURES:

All new projects (see #7 under Terms on page 3) must submit a notice of intent to mine gravel to the Corps, Eureka Field Office, by February 1 of that year. Before mining, a pre-extraction report (mining proposal) must be submitted that contains the information described below. Following completion of extraction, a post-extraction report must be submitted (also described below). Copies of all pre- and post-extraction information, including cross sections, aerial photos, and other information shall be provided to the Corps, NMFS, and CHERT at about the same time. Once the pre-extraction report has been submitted, a site review will be scheduled for all Class A operations. A mutually agreeable date shall be scheduled between CHERT, the Corps and NMFS for site reviews, or a five working day notice of when the site review is scheduled to occur shall be provided to NMFS.

At the discretion of the operator, a preliminary site review may be requested to discuss preferred mining alternatives before a pre-extraction report is prepared. This can often save costs of unnecessary surveying and plan preparation, as well as time, by narrowing the scope of mining design alternatives to one that is likely to meet the requirements set forth herein. Should operators desire a preliminary review, a mutually agreeable date shall be scheduled between CHERT, the Corps and NMFS for site reviews, or a five working day notice of when the site review is scheduled to occur shall be provided to NMFS.

In all cases an application for authorization of work under LOP 2009 must include a written description of the project, proposed work schedule, the address and telephone number of a point of contact who can be reached during working hours, an 8.5 by 11 inch vicinity map, and an 8.5 by 11 inch site or location map showing all the boundaries of all proposed work (maps and figures can also be on 11 by 17 inch paper). The information may be submitted on an Application for Department of the Army Permit form (ENG Form 4345) or in any other form which will clearly supply the information in a concise manner. In general, projects that remove more than 250,000 cubic yards per year will not be considered eligible for authorization under this procedure. Projects will also be considered in relation to other extraction operations.

Project submittal must include a description of the project and at least the following

information, unless modified by the Corps, on a yearly basis:

I. A pre-extraction report shall be submitted to the Corps, CHERT, and NMFS at least two weeks prior to excavation. Pre-extraction reports shall include:

A. Cross-section Surveys: Monitoring and Extraction cross-section surveys shall be prepared according to Appendix C (attached), unless modified by CHERT and approved by the Corps. Each year spring surveys shall be submitted to CHERT for review. Applicants shall submit gravel extraction plans meeting CHERT recommendations to the Corps for approval prior to commencing gravel extraction operations;

B. A Streambed Alteration Agreement (SAA) or any extension signed by the CDFG, or a Riparian Protection and Surface Mining Permit signed by a Federally recognized Indian Reservation. Permits may be obtained concurrently with the Corps permit;

C. A pre-extraction vertical aerial photo of the location. Photos shall be taken the spring of each year and shall include the entire project reach (extraction zone reach of the project site and immediate upstream and downstream reaches within one half length of the extraction zone reach of the project, as measured along the thalweg (the bottom of the low-flow channel). Pre-extraction photos must be vertical photos at a scale of 1:6000 and shall diagram proposed extraction activities as described in Appendix C;

D. A mitigation report containing the mapped areas that are impacted (riparian vegetation and wetlands) and the mitigation proposed to minimize these impacts;

E. For new projects, the applicant must submit to the Corps and the consulting regulatory agencies participating in the spring meetings, by February 1 of the initial gravel mining year, copies of the environmental documentation required by the Lead Agency when requesting a conditional use permit, vested right or exemption. The Corps may also require additional information.

F. Except for the temporally and spatially isolated sites, the monitoring cross-sections shall be provided to the agencies annually; the temporally and spatially isolated sites shall be reported each second year, and the year of proposed extraction, and the year of a 25-year flow event.

II. A post-extraction report shall be submitted to the Corps, CHERT, and NMFS by December 1 of each year. Post-extraction reports shall include:

A. A post-extraction survey, which shall be conducted following cessation of extraction and before alteration of the extraction area by flow following fall rains, preferably before October 15. Post-extraction reports shall include the amount and

dimensions of material excavated from each area mined. See Appendix C for post-extraction requirements;

B. Vertical aerial photo coverage of the project reach. Photo coverage shall be taken in the low-flow periods and be at a scale no larger than 1:12000. Photos shall be taken from a fixed or vertical oriented (i.e. belly-mounted) camera. Stereoscopic photo coverage shall be taken in late September or early (first week) October;

C. A longitudinal profile view of the thalweg for the active channel line along the project reach based on the monitoring cross-sections and additional thalweg survey points taken at dominant riffle crests and pool bottoms;

D. The results of required biological monitoring information, as described in Appendix D (attached), are due January 1 of the following year.

REQUIRED MITIGATION:

Each permittee shall mitigate impacts to wetlands and riparian zones in the following manner: avoidance of the impact, minimization of the impact, rectifying the impact, reducing or eliminating the impact over time, and finally compensating for impacts. For all unavoidable impacts, a mitigation plan shall be submitted with applications for all projects that will adversely affect wetlands and riparian vegetation. Mitigation must consider the size and age of the vegetation removed or adversely impacted. All vegetative mitigation must be planted between November 1 and February 28 of the year following excavation and must have an approved survival rate over three growing seasons. Failure to obtain a three-year survival rate shall require replanting. Annual reports depicting the survival of vegetation shall be due by December 31 each year for three growing seasons after planting year.

SITE VISITS:

Site visits will be conducted before and after gravel extraction operations at all locations. Additional site visits can be made upon request by the operator or when otherwise deemed necessary by the Corps, NMFS, CHERT, or other participating agencies. Pre-extraction visits will be done as part of the review and approval process. Post-extraction visits will be as soon as possible following completion of operations **and** prior to site inundation by rising river stages in the fall. To help ensure this occurs in a timely manner, project owners must notify the Corps, NMFS, and CHERT by email, phone, or fax within two business days of project completion. The Corps will provide operational checklist (please see the draft form at Appendix N) to the operator outlining the habitat improvement goals for the specific river reach, and the procedures that occur during the extraction season.

SUBMITTALS:

Project submittals (pre-extraction and post-extraction) should be mailed to the following agency representatives (note that you may also be required to mail submittals to other agencies, such as

Humboldt County, CDFG, CCC, SLC, USFWS, etc.):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Regulatory Branch, Eureka Field Office
P.O. Box 4863, Eureka, California 95502
Attention: Mr. Kelley Reid

National Marine Fisheries Service
Arcata Field Office
1655 Heindon Road
Arcata, CA 95521
Attention: Ms. Irma Lagomarsino

Dr. Douglas Jager, CHERT
349 Stagecoach Road
Trinidad, CA 95570

If you have any questions you can telephone the Corps's Eureka Office at (707) 443-0855 or send an email to: Kelley.Reid@spd02.usace.army.mil.

Work may not proceed until the District Engineer has issued an LOP authorization letter. For projects which have obtained the LOP, the activity may not begin each year until a confirmation letter (Letter of Modification, or MOD) has been issued by the Corps. The Corps will attach the NMFS Incidental Take Statement (ITS) to all LOPs issued under this procedure to aid in compliance with terms and conditions by the applicants.

It is the applicant's responsibility to insure that the authorized project meets the terms and conditions set forth herein. Failure to abide by them will constitute a violation of the Clean Water Act and/or the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899.

The Corps is responsible for determining compliance with the LOP 2009. The Corps may take actions to rectify projects that are not in compliance. These actions may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A. Permit revocation.
- B. Permit suspension.
- C. Project and habitat site restoration.
- D. Reduction of authorized gravel extraction amounts per year.

No authorization will be granted under a LOP for any excavation or grading that is for the primary purpose of river engineering, channel or river capture, channel realignment or for a project that is likely to result in the above, unless approved by the Corps. Projects outside the scope of this LOP 2009 will be considered for authorization by individual permit.

This permit shall become effective on the date of the signature of the District Engineer, or his authorized representative, and will automatically expire on **December 31, 2014**, unless the

permit is modified, revoked, or extended before that date. Activities authorized under this permit that have commenced (i.e. are under operation), or are under contract to commence in reliance on this permit, will remain authorized provided the activity is completed within twelve months of the expiration, modification, or revocation of the permit, unless discretionary authority has been exercised by the Corps on a case-by-case basis to modify, suspend, or revoke the authorization. Prior to expiration, a public notice seeking public comment will be reissued within five years from the date of signature of this procedure. The public notice will supply a summary of past actions and may also seek reauthorization of this LOP procedure.

BY AUTHORITY OF THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY:
FOR THE DISTRICT ENGINEER:

Date

Laurence M. Farrell
Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers
District Engineer

APPENDIX A

Salmon Habitat Improvement Activities

The actions authorized by this LOP are expected to include certain activities at project areas, during extraction seasons, that will enhance habitat for salmonids and other riverine species. The specific details of such habitat enhancement activities shall be determined during, and follow, the same multiagency pre-extraction design review process that is used for gravel extraction operations. Many of the habitat enhancement activities shall be consistent in scope, size and cost impact as restoration activities that have occurred in the past under LOP-2004. These activities included, but were not limited to, trenching designed to improve salmon migration, alcove construction, placement of edge water large woody debris, and construction of wetland pits to improve aquatic and riparian habitat. Some habitat enhancement activities will be new to this LOP, including, but not limited to, riparian planting and strategic placement of large wood and boulders in the stream.

The biological assessment (BA) under preparation for this procedure is expected to provide a more detailed description of activities and assessment of effects of salmonid habitat enhancement activities (as well as gravel extraction activities). The extent of habitat enhancement activities will be estimated based, in part, on accomplishments under the LOP-2004, the previous version, as well as operational feasibility during the 5 year implementation period of the LOP **2009**. In determining a rough target for enhancement activities, the BA will list and quantify the habitat improvement activities that were accomplished under LOP-2004, and describe additional, reach-specific habitat improvement activities covered under the LOP**2009**. This procedure assumes and authorizes that habitat improvement projects of like kind, nature and quantity would occur in the future. Typical activities include

1. Reduce vehicular access to the gravel bars to limit removal of large woody debris as well as vehicular use in the wetted channel.
2. Fish trench: The Van Duzen River is a perched stream, and periodically the confluence with the Eel is a barrier to fish passage. The operators at the Leland Rock bar and the Hauck Bar frequently coordinate their proposal to construct a fish trench, facilitating salmon migration into the Van Duzen River system. Currently, the trench tends to be fairly straight and temporary since the winter high flows fill in the trench. A design that helps to sustain itself would be preferable.
3. Alcove/wetlands. Especially, at the Guynup Bar, an alcove was designed that would sequester silt and harbor willows.

APPENDIX B
Humboldt County's
CALIFORNIA 2a(ii) Wild and Scenic River
River Descriptions/Agency Responsibility

River	Segments	Mileage	Agency	Designation
Eel	NF-Soldier Basin to Forest Boundary(FB)	15	USFS(SRNF)	Recreational
	NF-FB to confluence w/ Mainstem (includes Round Valley Indian Reservation lands)	16	NPS	Recreational
	MF-Headwaters to FB(Confluence with Black Butte Ck and MF Eel.)	18	USFS(MNF)	Recreational
	Main Stem-(legal description) to southern BLM boundary	13 +/-	NPS	Recreational
	Main Stem-South BLM boundary to confluence w/ Outlet Creek	13	BLM	Recreational
	Main Stem-Confluence of Outlet Creek to Mouth	?	NPS	Recreational
	SF-Headwaters (Section 4 Ck) to Confluence w/ Rattlesnake Ck adjacent to Hwy 101 (Leggett)	17	BLM	Recreational
	SF-Confluence w/ Rattlesnake Ck to Main Stem	50	NPS	Recreational
Van Duzen	Powerline above Little Larabee Ck to confluence with Eel.	?	NPS	Recreational
	Dinsmore bridge to powerline crossing above Little Larabee Ck.	?	NPS	Scenic
Trinity	Mainstem- Lewiston Lake to FB/ confluence with NF Trinity R.	17	BLM	Recreation
	Mainstem – East FB to W. FB (Shasta Trinity NF)	33.2	USFS (STNF)	Recreation
	Mainstem –East FB to W FB (6 Rivers)	15	USFS (SRNF)	Recreation
	Mainstem – FB, Crossing Yurok land to Hoopa Indian land	1	NPS	Scenic
	Mainstem- Hoopa Indian land to confluence w/ Klamath R.	2	NPS	Scenic
	New River –Headwaters to confluence w/ mainstem Trinity R.	21	USFS (STNF)	Recreation
	SF – Hum. Co. line to Todd Ranch in Sec 18, T5N	?	USFS (SRNF)	Wild
	SF- Todd Ranch to confluence w/ mainstem Trinity R.	?	USFS (SRNF)	Scenic
	NF Trinity- Headwaters to Mainstem	15	USFS (STNF)	Recreation

SRNF = Six Rivers Nat. Forest STNF = Shasta Trinity ? = uncertain River Mile
NF= North Fork FB= Forest (USFS) Boundary SF= South Fork MF=Middle Fork

RESERVED: APPENDIX C
CHERT WILL LIKELY REVISE THIS APPENDIX
PHYSICAL MONITORING AND SUBMITTAL PREPARATION GUIDELINES
FOR GRAVEL EXTRACTION IN HUMBOLDT COUNTY

Ground surveys and aerial photography provide the primary basis for physical monitoring of extraction areas in Humboldt County. They are also essential for project planning, proposal preparation, field reviews, project modification, and compliance verification. Although technological advancements in recent years have lowered the costs and increased the accuracy of digital terrain modeling (DTM), the more conventional cross section surveys are still in common use by Humboldt County's mining industry. Consequently, the guidelines below focus on conventional cross section surveys. However, use of DTM-based monitoring information is encouraged and should provide much of the same information (e.g., elevations of the water surface, top of silt band, etc.) mentioned below.

Monitoring cross-sections are permanent, monumented cross sections whose purpose is to document yearly and long-term changes in river channel elevation and morphology at extraction sites and adjacent reaches. They also aid in extraction planning, field reviews, and, in some cases, estimation of volumes extracted.

Extraction zone cross-sections are temporary, seasonal cross-sections used for the planning an extraction, for estimation of the actual volume extracted, and for evaluating compliance with approved gravel plans. The extraction zone is the total area that will be extracted and/or graded as a result of gravel extraction activities.

Cross-sections, maps, and associated calculations (such as replenishment and extraction volumes) must be prepared by or under the direction of a State of California Licensed Land Surveyor or an authorized Professional Engineer and certified as to content and accuracy.

The guidelines below were modified from those in the original LOP 96-1. Additionally, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) shall receive copies of all electronic cross sections.

I. Standards for Monitoring Cross-Sections

A. Number and layout of required cross sections for an extraction project shall follow the guidelines below. Please consult with the County of Humboldt Extraction Review Team (CHERT) for assistance or clarification as needed.

1. A hypothetical center line for the 'frequently scoured' river channel, measured equidistant from both banks and delineating the zone of frequent bedload movement (annual scour and deposition) must first be established to determine the high flow channel direction and the along-channel length of the project reach. This zone is typically devoid of large trees and excludes low floodplains and terraces.

2. If the radius of curvature is less than ten times larger than the average frequently scoured channel width of the project reach, the reach is considered a bend. If the radius of curvature is more than ten times larger than the average actively scoured channel width of the project reach, the reach is considered straight.

3. Cross-sections shall be oriented perpendicular to the center line.

4. Cross-sections shall be no more than 400 feet apart on bends and 500 feet apart in straight reaches. If the length of the project reach is not evenly divisible by 400 or 500 feet, the number of cross-sections should be rounded to the next larger number. Longer distances between cross sections or abandonment and

replacement of cross sections may be allowed on a case-by-case basis.

5. The first cross-section shall extend across the channel at the upstream limit of the project reach (entire project site); the last cross-section shall extend across the channel at the downstream limit of the project reach.

B. Cross-sections must extend completely across the river channel (so as to include all actively scoured channel width) and to terminate on the 100-year floodplain or equivalent surface.

C. Two bench marks (permanent monuments) shall be established for each bar above the watercourse's active banks and in positions such that they will not be eroded away by all but the most destructive flood events. Bench marks shall be tied to a common vertical and horizontal control datum, the 1988 North American Vertical Datum (NAVD88) and to the 1983 North American Datum (NAD), among all extraction sites.

D. Cross-sections shall be tied to a common vertical and horizontal control datum among all extraction sites. This is specified as the 1988 North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) and 1983 North American Datum (NAD) elevation for sea level.

E. Cross-section endpoints and benchmarks shall be clearly monumented and labeled in the field and accurately located on current air photos and maps. A common color of flagging, or environmentally benign painting shall be used to mark cross-sections at all sites.

F. Cross-section endpoints must be placed far enough away from eroding banks that they will not be removed by relatively frequent flows (e.g., by floods smaller than the 10-year event).

G. Cross-sections must be resurveyed from the same endpoints each year. New cross-sections may be added as necessary (e.g., major shifts in the river's course) and should be oriented approximately normal to the channel center line.

H. Pre-extraction cross-section surveys need only include those portions of each cross-section inundated by the previous winter's highest flow, but plots must include accurate representations of all ground topography between endpoints and clearly label where older (previous survey) data are used. This is included as a cost saving measure for areas where it is clear no scour or deposition has occurred since the previous survey.

I. If the cross-section becomes inundated by late-season high flows after the pre-extraction survey is completed, the cross-section must be resurveyed (at a minimum, the inundated portions, as described above).

J. All monitoring cross-sections should be surveyed each spring, regardless of whether extraction took place in them in the previous year. If flow conditions make below-water portions of the cross section unsafe to survey, those sections may be completed later in the year as conditions allow, but prior to fall rains.

K. Post-extraction surveys need only be resurveyed through those portions of the cross-section altered by extraction, temporary stockpiles, road construction, or other types of ground disturbance.

L. Stake or spray paint the following points on the ground in each cross-section at time of survey (to facilitate the CHERT relating the cross-section at time of survey to the ground during field review):

1. Water's edge on both sides of river; or if this is not practicable (e.g., steep, unstable slope), stake at 10

feet offset (measured along ground surface) from water's edge. Position of stake to be included in survey.

2. The top of the silt band, if visible.
3. The 35% flow exceedence level, if available.
4. On both sides of river, one hub (2 inch by 2 inch wooden stake), painted brightly and labeled, shall be driven in nearly flush with the ground at the survey point closest to midway between water's edge and cross-section endpoint. Exception: this is not required if it would put the stake in a steep, unstable bank.
5. Stakes should be labeled with cross-section and station number (horizontal distance from left end point).

M. Maximum distance between any two elevational points along a cross-section shall be 50 feet, including wetted portion. Exception: if ground outside wetted channel is essentially level for a distance of 500 feet, distance between points can be increased to 100 feet. All obvious breaks in slope must still be included.

N. Net cross-sectional area change pre-extraction to post-extraction (gravel removal), or post-extraction to next year's pre-extraction (replenishment), as appropriate, should be calculated for each cross-section and presented in tabular form. Measurements and calculations should be included.

O. The survey data for each cross section should be provided to the CHERT on a 3.5" diskette, 'zip' disk, or CD as a digital file in ASCII text format (alphanumeric, tab-delimited). A paper printout of the data should also be supplied. The data should be grouped by cross-section and organized from L bank to R bank, using the format below:

<i>XS 20+78, Smith Bar, Duke Ready Mix Site, Big River</i>			
Point No.	Horizontal Distance	Elevation	Description
1	0	154.9	Ground at LB rebar
2	45.3	149.3	BIS (break in slope)
3	73.3	147.1	Top scarp
4	79.1	142.6	Base scarp
etc.	etc.	etc.	etc.

P. Monitoring cross-sections to be used for planning/designing extractions should be surveyed at least several weeks prior to the desired beginning date of operations to allow sufficient time for the review and approval process. Cross-sections following mining (including any parts of cross sections not surveyed pre-mining due to unsafe flow conditions and parts of cross sections affected by mining operations) are to be surveyed and submitted with the other post-extraction materials as soon as practicable after mining ends, and definitely before winter high flows occur.

II. Standards for Extraction Zone Cross-Sections

A. Number and layout of extraction cross sections for an extraction project to follow the guidelines below:

1. A hypothetical center line for the proposed extraction, located equidistant from both edges of the extraction zone and extending down its long axis must be established.

2. A minimum of 5 equally-spaced extraction cross-sections shall be surveyed in each extraction zone or area.
 3. Cross-sections shall be oriented perpendicular to the extraction center line.
- B. Extraction cross-sections shall be surveyed prior to extraction, and used to design extraction, calculate extraction volume, and review extraction proposals.
- C. Extraction cross-sections shall be resurveyed after extraction is complete. Extraction cross-sections need not be resurveyed in subsequent years.
- D. Extraction cross-sections require temporary (seasonal) monuments at each end, such as stakes or rebar, which can be relocated after extraction is complete.
- E. Extraction cross-sections should be clearly staked and marked on the ground so that the CHERT can readily locate them in the field.

III. Preparation of Cross-Sections Plots

All Cross-Sections shall be prepared according to the following criteria:

- A. Plots should denote the position and elevation (to the nearest 0.1 foot) of the following points:
1. End points and hubs.
 2. The top of the silt band adjacent to the low flow channel, if visible.
 3. The 35% flow exceedence level, if available.
 4. The water's edge at time of survey.
 5. Edge of vegetation stands.
 6. Any other features useful for field orientation and review.
- B. Cross-sections at all sites shall be plotted at the same simple, usable vertical and horizontal scales. All cross-sections must have a vertical exaggeration of 10. Scales to use for cross-sections are as follows:

<u>Cross Section Width</u>	<u>Paper Size</u>	<u>Horizontal Scale</u>
≤ 500 ft.	8 ½" x 11"	1 in. = 100 ft.
500 ft. - 1200 ft.	8 ½" x 14"	1 in. = 100 ft.
≥ 1200 ft. - 1600 ft.	8 ½" x 14" or 11" x 17"	1 in. = 100 ft.
≥ 1600 ft.	8 ½" x 14" or 11" x 17"	1 in. = 100 ft.

- C. Cross-sections shall be cut and stacked so that whole cross-sections can be placed on one page. Cross-sections that are cut and stacked must be consistently presented each year.
- D. Cross-sections shall be surveyed and drafted consistently so that the right bank (RB) of the river as you face downstream is at the right side of the drafted cross-section. Zero (0) distance in cross-sections shall be at the left (LB) endpoint as you face downstream.

- E. Cross sections shall be plotted on gridded paper, where the grid logically corresponds to the scale at which the cross-section is plotted. We suggest a grid of 10 squares to the inch. Grid shall be visible in the reproduced paper copies provided to the CHERT.
- F. Cross sections shall have clearly labeled vertical and horizontal axes. Each cross section should have its own horizontal axis to facilitate measurement of distances (rather than a single set of axis labels at bottom of page). Each cross-section should have its origin on a heavy grid line.
- G. Any vertical or horizontal datum or endpoint changes should be clearly noted along with the length and direction of change(s) on the cross section plots.
- H. All monitoring cross sections shall also include:
1. Where discernible, elevation and position of high-water marks for previous winter's flow (floodmarks); these should be consistently determined among cross-sections.
 2. Water-surface elevation and location (both banks) at time of survey.
 3. Cross-sections to include the river bottom (especially location of the thalweg) as well as the water surface. Water surface elevation alone is insufficient; the bed must be included.
 4. Elevation and location of top of silt band ("bathtub ring") if visible at time of survey.
 5. Location of major vegetation breaks, e.g., edge of willows or riparian forest.
 6. Water discharge at time of survey (from nearest USGS gage) to be shown in cross-section legend.
 7. Floodmarks, top of silt band, water's edge, monuments, CHERT reference stakes should all be clearly labeled in the cross-section and their elevations indicated.
 8. For spring cross-section data, all monitoring cross-sections shall include the current year's spring cross-section overlain on the previous year's spring and fall (if any) cross-sections. The area of actual extraction should be lightly shaded or hatched. Water-surface should be shown with a dotted line, and its date clearly indicated.
 9. For pre-extraction survey, total volume change since the previous year's post-extraction survey (i.e., replenishment) should be calculated using double end-area or computer generated digital terrain models. All measurements and calculations should be included and verified by a California Licensed Land Surveyor or appropriately authorized engineer.
 10. For post extraction cross-section data, all monitoring cross-sections which overlap the extraction area shall include the current year's post extraction cross section data overlain on the current year's pre-extraction cross-section data and the previous year's post extraction cross-section data and the original prescription recommended by the CHERT. The post-extraction cross-section should be shown with a solid line, the pre-extraction with a dashed line. The actual area of extraction should be lightly shaded or hatched.
 11. Electronic files with cross section data shall be submitted by December 31, each year. These files should be in ASCII or a compatible format with X-Y coordinates corresponding to the hard-copy plots, where X is the horizontal distance from the left (facing downstream) monument or endpoint and Y is the elevation referenced to NAVD88. Header information shall be included with each cross section file that indicates the date of survey, cross section number, mining site, and river. Other relevant information (e.g., lost/re-established endpoints, etc.) shall also be included. Files shall be submitted in CD-ROM or other common media. A 'Read Me' text file may also be included if explanation of other issues is necessary.

- I. All Extraction Cross-Sections shall also include:

1. Spring extraction cross-sections shall include the pre-mining cross-section data overlain onto the proposed mining configuration. The proposed area of extraction should be lightly shaded or hatched. Should changes be required for project approval, extraction cross sections shall be re-submitted with the approved mining configuration replacing the proposed configuration prior to commencement of mining.
2. Post extraction cross-sections shall include the post-mining cross-section data overlain on the previous year's post extraction (if any) and the current year's pre extraction cross-section data and the approved mining configuration. The actual area of extraction should be lightly shaded or hatched.
3. All plotted configurations should be clearly distinguishable from one another and clearly labeled.
4. The net cross-sectional area change pre-extraction to post-extraction should be calculated for each cross-section. Total volume extracted should be computed, using double end area or computer generated digital terrain models. All measurements and calculations should be included in tabular form and verified by a California Licensed Land Surveyor or appropriately authorized engineer.

IV. Preparation of Maps

- A. All pre-extraction site maps are to be prepared on a color air photo of good quality from current year (see exception below). Site maps should show the entire project area, the proposed extraction area, and other pertinent features at a scale of approximately 1:6000 (1 in = 500 ft). This may require reduction or enlargement of original air photos.
- B. Pre-extraction photos should be taken when the river is low enough to see the channel. Earlier photos may be used for preliminary planning so long as they reasonably reflect current conditions, but a current set is required for final project approval.
- C. All monitoring and extraction cross-sections should be accurately located and labeled on the site map. In particular, the end points of each cross-section must be located as close as possible to their true positions.
- D. The horizontal limits of both the approved and actual extraction areas (if they are different) should be accurately shown on a site map included with the post-extraction submittal, along with cross section as described above. Only current year air photos shall be used for post-extraction submittals.

APPENDIX D

BIOLOGICAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS FOR GRAVEL EXTRACTION IN HUMBOLDT COUNTY, CA

The monitoring plan is required as part of the terms and conditions of our biological opinion for LOP 2004-1, and our batched biological opinion for the individual permits for gravel mining activities on the Mad River. Four sources of information make up the plan: 1) monitoring cross sections for all rivers; 2) water surface elevation at the 35% exceedence flow for all rivers, except the Trinity River (the width-to-depth ratio is analyzed at the 35% flow); 3) habitat mapping for all rivers, and 4) a longitudinal profile for the Mad River only. These data shall be collected on an annual basis, unless otherwise noted.

The modified longitudinal profile is only required for the Mad River due to the biological sensitivity and the mining intensity found in the Mad River extraction reach. However, all extraction reaches will be required to collect the cross section information, the 35% exceedence flow water surface elevation, and the habitat mapping data. The exception is the Trinity River where collection of the 35% exceedence data are not required. The longitudinal profile for the Mad River is intended to replace the previously required pool-riffle survey data.

A noticeable trend in physical or habitat conditions of the river, i.e., decreasing pool depth or overall pool volume, may or may not be related to gravel mining operations. However, a trend in physical or habitat conditions informs us of how the baseline river condition is changing through time, and this information can then be used to inform future management decisions.

Physical Monitoring

Objectives

The width-to-depth ratio can be calculated for the channel below the 35% exceedence level utilizing the monitoring cross section data. A trend of decreasing width-to-depth ratio over multiple cross sections would indicate increasing channel confinement and may indicate improving habitat conditions. Conversely, an increasing width-to-depth ratio suggests a tendency toward a wider, shallower channel. Considering the overall incision or aggradation, the trend in topographic variability, and the overall trend in the width-to-depth ratio at the 35% exceedence flow water surface elevation will help define the trend in low flow habitat within the measured reach. The habitat assessment mapping will be used in conjunction with the physical monitoring to help define the trend in habitat conditions during the permit period.

The intent of the longitudinal profile for the Mad River is to provide a means of tracking the topographic variability of the channel through the extraction reach over time and channel aggradation or incision. The habitat mapping, described below, is intended to complement the longitudinal profile monitoring by providing more information than the physical monitoring is able to describe.

Methods

Monitoring Cross Sections: Except for the temporally and spatially isolated sites, the monitoring cross-sections shall be provided to the agencies annually; the temporally and spatially isolated sites shall be reported each second year, and the year of proposed extraction, and the year of a 25-year flow event. Endpoints shall be placed above the 10-year flood plain. Endpoint location should be placed far enough away from banks, so that endpoints are not likely to be lost if there is bank caving. If an applicant is experiencing difficulty in locating endpoints that are likely to stay in place, site-specific endpoint location can be developed during 2005 site reviews with the involved agencies. The benchmarks that the endpoints are tied to shall be located above the 100 year flood level. The current survey point frequency is at least every fifty feet in areas of constant slope. In addition, points should also be surveyed at obvious breaks in slope of more than 1 foot in elevation. Care should be taken to define the channel below the 35% exceedence water surface elevation to the same accuracy, including the wetted channel, making sure to get the lowest point in the cross section and in the thalweg, if they are different.

35% Exceedence Flow Elevation: Careful marking and survey of the water surface elevation near the 35% exceedence flow in each gravel extraction area shall be done each spring when the flow recedes using the attached workbook for data submittal.

Long Profile for the Mad River: The long profile should have survey points capturing every significant break in slope. At a minimum, the density of survey points through features such as pools should include at least four points that represent the head of the pool, the maximum depth and at least two points that define the broad tail of the pool. We anticipate that this will result in a spacing of survey points on the order of 75 to 100 feet. Profiles should extend from the top monitoring cross section to the lowest monitoring cross section for each extraction site. The accuracy of the elevations is expected to be within twice the median substrate size (Lisle 1987).

NMFS' analysis of the physical data will be based on the annual comparison and comparison over the permit period, of the distributions of residual depths, similar to that presented by Madej (1999). In this manner, the distribution, mean and standard deviation of residual depths will be compared.

Data Submittal

Applicants shall use the attached Excel workbooks to submit the physical monitoring data. The Excel workbooks that are attached are specific for each river. Note that the attached workbooks for data submittal are examples, and that users will need to insert their own stationing and data. The attached workbooks for data submittal must be used to record:

- Pre-extraction cross sections
- Post-extraction cross sections
- Monitoring cross sections
- Elevation and location of the 35% exceedence water surface

- High water elevation and location from the previous winter
- Long profile through each gravel bar area on the Mad River

The workbooks for data submittal shall be used exactly as they are so that data can easily be joined among the different gravel bars. Please advise NMFS staff if you want the workbooks for data submittal modified, but do not change them yourself. All operators must use the same data submittal organization.

Biological Monitoring

The biological monitoring component is intended to complement the physical monitoring described above. For each site, habitat data will be collected that describes the distribution and characteristics of three principal habitat types as described by the California Department of Fish and Game (Flosi *et al.* 1998): pools, flatwater, and riffles, with the addition of an alcove habitat. This habitat identification effort will be applied at each site, and extend at a minimum, one half of a meander sequence above and below a given extraction site. At a minimum, for the Mad River, the habitat identification shall be applied to the same length of channel as the modified longitudinal profile. Additionally, a habitat mapping component will be incorporated that delineates more specific micro-habitat features based upon relevant life history stages of concern for each reach.

This effort will be conducted annually, except for the “isolated sites” listed in the 2004 biological opinion for LOP 2004-1. For the isolated sites, the habitat data will be collected the year of extraction and at the conclusion of the permit period. If no extraction occurs at an isolated site, a minimum of one habitat survey will be conducted at the conclusion of the 5-year LOP permit period.

The intent of the habitat monitoring effort is two-fold. The first is to maintain continuity from past habitat mapping efforts and record the distribution and characteristics of habitat units that provide fish habitat value. To this end, the monitoring will be similar to that conducted in the past. The second intent of the monitoring is to provide the means to capture more qualitative biological observations than is possible using the physical monitoring protocols described in the previous section. Habitat units should be recorded on the current aerial photograph for the site(s) and be linked to both the cross section and habitat data forms. At a minimum, the habitat data forms should record the depth and area of individual habitat units, the extent and type of cover available, and any additional observations (e.g. cold water seeps, undercut banks, overhanging vegetation/large woody debris, spawning and holding habitat, coho rearing habitat, etc.) that provide useful information on the value of available habitat. We note that the utility of these more qualitative observations depend heavily on the same person(s) conducting the monitoring from year to year.

In order to minimize observer subjectivity in the habitat mapping process, two approaches are used. First, pools should be defined based on a variety of characteristics that include substrate composition (sand and small gravel), bottom morphology (concave), maximum depth and velocity (<1 fps and smooth surface). The second approach is to coordinate with NMFS staff

during at least the first year of implementation to ensure that habitat units are consistent and meet the intent of the biological monitoring. Should multiple biologists conduct the monitoring, each biologist will coordinate with NMFS staff.

Annual data submissions should include the aerial photographs with the habitat units clearly delineated, summary tables including descriptions of the proportional area of each habitat type, the distribution of habitat measurements (e.g. pool depths) and a narrative describing the habitat conditions in the reach. In addition, the photographs should provide a clear link to the associated cross sections and, in the case of the Mad River, the modified longitudinal profile.

APPENDIX E
USFWS CONDITIONS FOR WESTERN SNOWY PLOVERS
 Reserved, pending USFWS Biological Opinion

APPENDIX F
CLASS A GRAVEL EXTRACTION SITES THAT ARE EXPLICITLY
INCLUDED IN THE REQUEST FOR CONSULTATION WITH
NMFS

The following list includes the active Class A gravel extraction sites that are eligible for authorization under this procedure. Any additional gravel extraction proposals would require consultation with NMFS before inclusion in this appendix and/or authorization.

Lower Eel River App. H	Van Duzen River App. J	HRC Reach Eel R. App G	South Fork Eel R. App I	Trinity River App. K
				And isolated sites
<i>Eureka S&G</i>	<i>Humboldt Co</i>	<i>PALCO</i>	<i>Mercer Fraser</i>	<i>Mercer Fraser</i>
Hauck Bar	PL Bar	Vroman	Cooks Valley Mend	McKnight Bar
Singley Bar		Bowlby	Cooks Valley Humb	Willow Ck Bars
		South Fork Bar		
<i>Charlie Hansen</i>	<i>Tom Bess</i>	Larabee	<i>Humboldt County</i>	<i>Rowland</i>
Hansen Bar	East Site	Elinor	County Bar	Rowland
	West Site	Three Mile	Tooby Bar	
<i>Mercer Fraser</i>		Dinner Creek		<i>Humboldt Co</i>
Sandy Prairie,Pl A	<i>Noble</i>	Truckshop	<i>Randall</i>	Cook Bar
Sandy Prairie,Pl B	Noble	Maynard	County Bar	Branstetter Bar
		Scotia	Home/Tooby Bar	Charles Bar
<i>Mallard Pond</i>	<i>Leland Rock</i>		Tooby Park Bar	
Drake Bar	East Site	<i>Humboldt Co.</i>		
	West Site	South Fork Bar	<i>Wallan & Johnson</i>	
<i>Humboldt County</i>			Wallan & Johnson	
Worswick Bar				
			<i>Satterlee</i>	

			Fort Seward	
--	--	--	-------------	--

Italicized names refer to the responsible party for gravel extraction at the gravel bars listed. Names in the standard font are the names of the gravel bar. Gravel bars that are proposed for authorization under an Individual Permit are marked with a strikethrough (~~for example~~) and are not eligible for authorization under LOP 2009, without initiating consultation with NMFS.

APPENDIX G

Conditions, Limitations and Criteria Specific to Gravel Mining on the HRC Reach of the Eel River

The Middle Mainstem (or Humboldt Redwoods Company (HRC)) Reach of the Eel River is constrained by steep canyons and bedrock. This stretch of the river is more confined than within the Lower Eel River. Humboldt Redwood Company is the primary landowner and operator located along this stretch of the river, which runs approximately 25 miles from Scotia to McCann. There are 10 extraction bars within this stretch with documented operations dating back to the 1940s and 1950s.

The bedrock dominated conditions of this stretch keeps the configuration of the river consistent from year to year with little to no variation shown from historic aerial photos, irrespective of the level of extraction. HRC’s authorized extraction volumes are small in comparison to the size of the gravel bars and number of unmined bars within the same reach.

Anadromous salmonids typically use this reach as a migratory corridor. In general, spawning is not known to occur in this reach of the Eel River and especially within HRC’s 10 permitted extraction sites. The HRC reach could be improved by protecting the large substrate components and creating or improving juvenile rearing habitat, tributary access and connectivity, creation of pool habitat near cold-water tributaries, and adult holding and migratory habitat. The 35% exceedance flow level is used to set the minimum skim floor elevation for extraction operations. Of the extraction techniques described in Appendix L of the LOP most have been used in the past for these 10 locations, and all indications are that the full list will be available, as well as other potential extraction techniques moving into the future.

APPENDIX H

Conditions, Limitations and Criteria Specific to Gravel Mining on the Lower Eel River

The lower Eel River, from the confluence with the Van Duzen River downstream, is important nesting and rearing habitat for western snowy plovers as well as migration and rearing habitat for coho, Chinook and steelhead. The Corps and NMFS believe that this reach of river could be

improved with increases in riparian vegetation, adult migratory habitat, mainstem and off-channel juvenile rearing habitat, and channel confinement. The interagency review team has also observed that road removal and reduced vehicular access would prevent the removal of large woody debris, which is desirable for salmonid habitat. For these reasons, the lower Eel River contains extra conditions to further limit adverse impacts.

1. Impacts to snowy plovers shall be avoided to the maximum extent possible. Appendix E further describes the operating requirements that are required for gravel activities, including pre-extraction planning and surveys. For Worswick, Leland Rock, Hauck, Sandy Prairie, Drakes, and Hansen bars, the Corps will not participate in on-site pre-extraction reviews until after September 15 or after the plover biologist provides the Corps written confirmation that the pre-extraction surveys have been completed in accordance with USFWS Biological Opinion, (No.) dated ___ and Appendix E of the LOP.

2. Alternative extraction techniques shall be preferred over traditional skimming (bar scalping). These alternative techniques may include, but are not limited to horseshoe extractions, wetland pits, trenches and dry-trenches, as described in the Appendix L.

3. In addition to the alternative extraction techniques listed above, narrow skims that are adjacent to the low flow channel but provide for protection of the adjacent cross-over riffle by limiting extraction to the areas away from the entire riffle will also be considered for the lower Eel River on a case-by-case basis. These narrow skims may have a minimum vertical offset of 2 feet above the water surface elevation of the low flow channel. Narrow skim widths will be determined on a site specific basis, but narrow skims must: (1) not increase channel braiding; (2) not lower the elevation at which flows enter secondary channels; (3) avoid the higher portions of the annually inundated bar surface; and (4) must promote channel confinement. The CHERT recommendation shall include a summary of the reasoning, along with sufficient biological, hydrological, and sediment transport rationale to support the recommended width.

APPENDIX I

Conditions, Limitations and Criteria Specific to Gravel Mining on South Fork Eel River

The South Fork reach of the Eel River is typically contained by bedrock and steep canyons. Habitat improvement goals include mainstem and off-channel juvenile rearing habitat, improved salmonid access to tributaries, edgewater habitat, adult holding and migratory habitat, placement of large wood and/or boulders and riparian habitat. The South Fork Eel River provides habitat for Chinook, coho and steelhead, but especially is spawning habitat for Chinook. Alternative extraction techniques shall be preferred over traditional skimming. These alternative techniques may include, but are not limited to horseshoe extractions, wetland pits, trenches and dry-trenches, as described in the Appendix L.

APPENDIX J

Conditions, Limitations and Criteria Specific to

Gravel Mining on the Van Duzen River

The mouth of the Van Duzen River channel is broad and generally shallow. In conjunction with the aggraded conditions, the river may flow subsurface in the late summer and early autumn. The situation has caused stranding and mortality of Chinook salmon in recent years. The Corps' and NMFS' goals include silt sequestration, adult holding and migratory habitat, mainstem and off-channel juvenile rearing habitat, riparian habitat, road removal, encouraging a single-thread channel and more riparian vegetation, and/or encouraging the thalweg adjacent to the existing riparian vegetation. Trenches along the south side of the river, is not preferred since there is a tendency to erode the slope and intrude into blue-goo, an undesirable sediment material. It would be convenient to have a buffer between the river and the blue goo slide at Noble's Ranch. The Van Duzen River is especially prone to trenching. Extraction proposals in the lower two miles of the Van Duzen River shall be limited to alternative extraction designs, such as trenching, alcoves, horseshoe pits, very narrow skims, etc. In particular, trenching is recommended in some locations in the lower Van Duzen, especially when very close to the wetted channel. For the Leland Rock bar, a point of contact from National Marine Fisheries will be appointed for weekend advice, and will be recorded in the extraction checklist, (see Appendix N).

“Very Narrow Skims” on the lower two miles of the Van Duzen River (from the confluence to River Mile 2) shall be limited to 90 feet total width, as measured across the top of the extraction. This width provides for confinement of typical early season (November/December) peak flows of 1,000 cfs and maintains a depth of one foot within the narrow skim area, which shall also be above the water surface elevation of the 35% exceedence flow, so that impairment of adult passage is reduced.

For Leland Rock bar, the Corps will not participate in on-site pre-extraction reviews until after September 15 or after the applicant or plover biologist provides the Corps written confirmation that the pre-extraction surveys have been completed in accordance with USFWS BO.

Extraction proposals shall include a justification describing how the proposal will prevent increases in the width:depth ratio and not increase the likelihood of salmon stranding.

Appendix K Conditions, Limitations and Criteria Specific to Gravel Mining on the Trinity River

The Trinity River is typically contained by bedrock and steep canyons until it opens up in the valley of Hoopa, which still has one durable canyon wall. The habitat could be improved by creating more riparian habitat, and placing brushy debris along the otherwise exposed edgewater. The minimum skim floor elevation on the Trinity River shall be a minimum of two feet above the adjacent summer low-flow water surface elevation.

Appendix L

Definitions associated with gravel extraction

Skims

TRADITIONAL SKIM

Skimming or scalping of gravel from exposed gravel bars involves the use of excavating machinery to remove the uppermost layer of gravel. Historically, skimming may have been performed as far down as the water surface. However, to be eligible for authorization under the LOP 2009, skimming shall be performed above the 35% exceedence flow water surface elevation of the low flow channel, and downstream from the Head of bar buffer (described below), and on exposed (dry) bars, within the active channel that is typically inundated annually. After skimming, the bar must be graded in order to be left smooth, free of depressions and with a slope downstream and/or to the low-flow channel. Traditional skims are typically laid out as curvilinear benches along the outside of gravel bars, and are typically no wider than about half the exposed bar surface width.

HORSESHOE SKIM

This method would harvest gravel from the downstream two-thirds of gravel bars. A lateral edge-of-water buffer is maintained along the low flow channel. The upper third of the bar will be left in an undisturbed state as an upper bar buffer. The finished grade of the extraction area will have a downstream gradient equal to the river and a flat cross slope and will be no lower than the 35% exceedence flow elevation. Cut-slopes will be left at a 2:1 (horizontal:vertical) slope except along the upstream side at the head-of-bar buffer where a 6:1 slope will be established. There will be at least a 15-foot offset buffer from the bank. The extraction surface shall daylight along the downstream one-third to one-fifth of the bar to facilitate drainage following high runoff events. The horizontal and vertical offsets are intended to remove the excavation area away from the low-flow channel and minimize effects on listed salmonid species by disconnecting the mined surface from frequent flow inundation. Due to less frequent flow inundation, horseshoe-shaped skims may take larger flow events to replenish than traditional skim designs, depending on the unaltered bar height between the excavation and the stream.

INBOARD SKIM

This method is similar to the horseshoe except that it maintains a wider horizontal offset from the low flow channel where warranted. These areas would be excavated to a depth no lower than the water surface elevation offset, with a 0–0.5% cross slope, steeper (1:1) slopes on the sides, and gentle (10:1) slopes at the head of the excavation. The horizontal and vertical offsets are intended to remove the excavation area away from zones of frequent flow inundation. There would be a 15-foot offset buffer from the bank. The excavation may extend into the upper one-third of the head-of-bar buffer if sufficient rationale is provided to show that protection of the upstream riffle would be maintained.

NARROW SKIM

The narrow skims would be no more than one-third of the bar width, follow the shape of the bar feature, maintain the point of maximum height of the bar, and trend in the general direction of

streamflow. These skims would maintain a vertical offset corresponding to the discharge at 35% exceedence level. Finished skims would be free draining and slope either toward the low-flow channel or in a downstream direction. Furthermore, these skims would avoid the head of the bar, defined as the upstream one-third of the exposed bar surface. This buffer may be decreased on a case-by-case basis provided the extraction area narrows, tapering smoothly to a point and remains below the upstream cross-over riffle.

Van Duzen River

Narrow skims along the lower two miles of the Van Duzen River shall be limited to a maximum width of 90 feet across the top of the extraction. This width is designed to contain average peak flows of 1,000 cfs commonly seen during the early period of adult salmonid migration in November and December. The minimum skim floor shall be equal to the water surface elevation of the 35% exceedence flow.

Lower Eel River

Narrow skims that are adjacent to the low flow channel, but are not adjacent to entire riffle areas, will also be considered for the lower Eel River. These narrow skims may have a minimum vertical offset of 2 feet above the water surface elevation of the low flow channel. Narrow skim widths will be determined on a site specific basis, but narrow skims must: (1) not increase channel braiding; (2) not lower the elevation at which flows enter secondary channels; (3) avoid the higher portions of the annually inundated bar surface; and (4) must promote channel confinement.

SECONDARY CHANNEL SKIMS

These extractions are elongate, shallow skims in the area of dry, secondary channels, designed to be free-draining and open at either end so as to not impede fish passage/migration and to prevent any potential fish stranding. The upstream riffle crest, or elevation control of secondary channels shall not be affected by extraction proposals. The skim floor of these excavations shall be set at the 35% exceedence flow elevation. Secondary channel skims, with proper design, have a restorative function, as described in the section below.

Head of Bar Buffer

The upstream end of the bar (head of bar) shall not be mined or otherwise altered by the proposed action. The minimum head of the bar shall be defined as that portion of the bar that extends from at least the upper third of the bar to the upstream end of the bar that is exposed at summer low flow. Therefore, the upstream one-third portion of the bar as exposed at summer low flow is provided as the minimum head of bar buffer. The intent of the head of bar buffer is to provide protection of the natural stream flow steering effect provided by an undisturbed bar.

Variances to the minimum head of bar buffer may be considered on a case-by-case basis (e.g., for narrow skims) if the proposed alternative provides equal or greater protection. The specific nature of the proposed variance must be described, along with sufficient biological, hydrological, and sediment transport rationale to support the recommended alternative. Modifications in the default head-of-bar buffer dimension shall, at a minimum, provide for protection of the adjacent cross-over riffle by limiting extraction to the area downstream of the entire riffle.

Alcove

Alcove extractions are located on the downstream end of gravel bars, where naturally occurring alcoves form and may provide velocity refuge for juvenile salmonids during high flows, and potential thermal refuge for juvenile salmonids during the summer season. Alcove extractions are irregularly shaped to avoid disturbance of riparian vegetation, and are open to the low flow channel on the downstream end to avoid stranding salmonids. Alcoves are extracted to a depth either above or below the water table, and are small in area and volume extracted, relative to other extraction methods.

Exposed Bar

The bar area subject to annual flow inundation and active sediment transport and replenishment cycles, lacking transitional vegetation colonization, grasses and shrubs. Area may contain sparse patches of widely scattered individual woody plants.

Wetland pits

Wetland pits are irregularly shaped excavations (to avoid excavating riparian vegetation) located on the 2-to-5 year floodplain surface. An excavator digs out the sediment below the water table and leaves the sides of the pit sloped. Wetland pits allow for gravel extraction away from frequently inundated gravel bar surfaces, and most salmonid habitat features. Wetland pits will only fill with sediment during high flow events, on the order of every 2-to-5 years, and typically over a multi-year period. Wetland pits must have vegetation, either existing or planted, around their perimeter, and must contain some type of cover elements, such as woody debris.

Trenching

Wet trenching

The wet trenching method of extraction is used to excavate sediment directly from portions of the channel, after the stream flow has been diverted to a secondary channel location. The wet trenching method of extraction would only be used when there is the additional objective of improving instream salmonid habitat by the limited use of sediment removal, and where the diversion of the low flow channel into a secondary channel that provides salmonid habitat is possible.

Dry trenching

The dry trenching method of extraction may be both shallow and stay above the water table, or deep and extend below the water table. The dry trenching method involves gravel bar excavation on the exposed (dry) bar surface. A gravel berm may be constructed with materials on site to isolate the trench from the channel, or the trench may be far enough from the low flow channel to not require a berm to separate it. Material is then excavated from inside the trench to a depth that is limited by the reach of the equipment, and by the annual, site specific recommendations provided by CHERT. After excavation, and when the sediment in the trench has settled, the berm is breached on the downstream end, and the trench is connected to the river to prevent fish stranding. Alternatively, the berm may be constructed to be naturally breached during normal fall flows.

Modifications

Modifications to extraction limitations, when they provide equal or greater protection to listed fish species may be approved with a concurrence from NMFS and CDFG.

Appendix M
INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT
for Federally-listed Threatened Salmonids
Reserved, pending NMFS Biological Opinion

The following ITS is obsolete, having been provided for the LOP 2004-1. The Corps shall initiate consultation and expects a new Biological Opinion and ITS that shall include many similar conditions as this. The new ITS, when final, is not negotiable; however, you may submit comments on the following obsolete ITS to NMFS office, listed below.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) issued a Biological Opinion for LOP 2009 on August 13, 2004. The Incidental Take Statement is excerpted below. If you are interested in obtaining a complete copy of the Biological Opinion, please contact the Corps's Eureka Field Office.

Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, kill, trap, capture or collect, or attempt to engage in any such conduct [ESA section 3(18)]. NMFS further defines "harm" as "an act which actually kills or injures fish or wildlife. Such an act may include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures fish or wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, feeding or sheltering" (November 8, 1999, 64 FR 60727). Incidental take is any take of listed animal species that results from, but is not the purpose of, carrying out an otherwise lawful activity. Under the terms of sections 7(b)(4) and 7(o)(2) of the ESA, taking that is incidental to and not the purpose of the agency action is not considered a prohibited taking, provided that such taking is in compliance with the terms and conditions of this ITS.

The measures described below are non-discretionary and must be undertaken by the Corps so that they become binding conditions of any grant or permit issued to an applicant, as appropriate, for the exemption in section 7(o)(2) to apply. The Corps has a continuing duty to regulate the activity covered by this ITS. If the Corps: (1) fails to assume and implement the terms and conditions or fails to require the applicant to adhere to the terms and conditions of the ITS through enforceable terms that are added to the permit or grant document, the protective coverage of section 7(o)(2) may lapse. In order to monitor the impact of incidental take, the Corps must report the progress of the action and its impact on the species to NMFS as specified in the ITS [50 CFR § 402.14(i)(3)].

A. Amount or Extent of the Take

NMFS anticipates that annual gravel mining operations under LOP 2009 over the five-year permit term will result in take of SONCC coho salmon, CC Chinook salmon and NC steelhead. This will primarily be in the form of harm to salmonids by impairing essential behavior patterns as a result of reductions in the quality or quantity of their habitat. NMFS anticipates that the number of individuals harmed will be low. NMFS anticipates that a small number of juveniles may be killed, injured, or harassed during heavy equipment use while constructing and removing

temporary stream channel crossings or during instream trenching. In addition, NMFS expects that adults and juveniles may become stranded in trenches and wetland pits. Although, the trenches will be designed to avoid stranding, unexpected river changes may cause stranding of fish with mortality before fish rescue operations commence. While we cannot reliably estimate the number of individuals that may become stranded in a given year, NMFS expects that on the order of five adult and 10 juvenile salmonids (in any combination of the three species) may become stranded in trenches.

The take of the listed salmonids above will be difficult to detect because finding a dead or injured salmonid is unlikely as the species occurs in habitat that makes such detection difficult. The impacts of gravel mining under LOP 2009 will result in changes to the quality and quantity of salmonid habitat. These changes in the quantity and quality of salmonid habitat are expected to correspond to injury to, or reductions in, survival of salmonids by interfering with essential behaviors such as spawning, rearing, feeding, migrating, and sheltering. Because the expected impacts to salmonid habitat correspond with these impaired behavior patterns, NMFS is describing the amount or extent of take anticipated from the proposed action in terms of limitations on habitat impacts. NMFS expects that physical habitat impacts will be: (1) limited to the areas described in Table 1 below, (2) compliant with the project design features of LOP 2009 and this ITS, and (3) within the expected effects of the proposed action as described in this Opinion. Critical project design features in LOP 2009 include limiting extraction to no more than 175,000 cy/yr on the Mad River, implementing a head-of-bar buffer, giving preference to alternative extraction techniques on the South Fork Eel River, Lower Eel River and Mad River, and limiting skim widths to no more than 90 feet as measured across the top of the extraction. We expect more frequent use of alcoves, trenches and narrow skims in these reaches in lieu of traditional skimming. Where skimming does occur in these reaches, it will occur in more confined settings (*e.g.*, the lowermost Mad River as described in this Opinion) or be smaller in extent and be located away from the low-flow channel and not adjacent to spawning habitat.

Table 1. For each river, gravel bar sites are listed from the most upstream site to the most downstream site, and are not necessarily contiguous. The approximate length of each site is measured along the center-line of the stream, adjacent to each bar. Data was provided by Humboldt County Planning Division (April 26, 2000), except for the Cook’s Valley site and the Fort Seward site where data was provided by the Corps (June 27, 2000), and the McKnight site, where data was provided by the Corps (June 25, 2001).

Stream	Length (ft)	Gravel Bar Site Name
Middle Eel River	3646	Vroman and Maynard Bars
	4160	Truck Shop and Scotia Bars
	8340	Dinner Creek and Three Mile Bars
	8398	Elinor Bar
	4844	Holmes Bar
	7900	Dyerville, South Fork and Bowlby Bars
Lower Eel River	1117	Hansen Bar
	1754	Upper Sandy Prairie Bar
	3507	Canevari - Sandy Prairie Bar

Stream	Length (ft)	Gravel Bar Site Name
	2160	Lower Sandy Prairie Bar
	3413	Worswick Bar
	2807	Singley Bar (downstream of Fernbridge)
Lower Mad River	2219	Essex Bar
	1000	Miller Almquist Bar (near Hwy 299 bridge)
South Fork Eel R.	809	Cook's Valley (at the Humboldt/Mendocino County line)
	1218	Tooby Park/Garberville
	2097	Randall Sand and Gravel/Tooby Park/Garberville
	1854	Wallen/Johnson Redway Bar (near the town of Redway)
Lower Van Duzen R.	2304	Pacific Lumber Bar (near the town of Carlotta)
	661	Thomas Bess Ranch
	15506	Van Duzen Ranch
	1890	Leland Rock Gravel Bars
Lower Trinity R.	2000	McKnight Bar (near the town of Salyer)
	4497	Big Rock (near the town of Willow Creek)
	834	Klamath River Aggregate (near the town of Hoopa)
North Fork Mattole	4909	Cook Bar (at confluence with mainstem Mattole River)
Upper-Mid Eel	2000	Satterlee Bar near Fort Seward, at approximate river mile 68
Bear River	975	Branstetter Bar

B. Effect of the Take

NMFS determined that the proposed action, as described, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of SONCC coho salmon, CC Chinook salmon or NC steelhead.

C. Reasonable and Prudent Measure

NMFS considers that the following reasonable and prudent measure is necessary and appropriate to minimize take of SONCC coho salmon, CC Chinook salmon and NC steelhead.

The Corps shall:

1. Ensure that the monitoring necessary to track changes to salmonid habitat quality and quantity in the vicinity of gravel extraction sites is implemented.

D. Terms and Conditions

The Corps, and its permittees, must comply with the following terms and conditions, which implement the reasonable and prudent measure described above. These terms and conditions are non-discretionary.

RPM 1. Ensure that the monitoring necessary to track changes to salmonid habitat quality and quantity in the vicinity of gravel extraction sites is implemented.

- a. The Corps, the applicants, CHERT and NMFS will develop an extraction reach-specific monitoring plan by December 31, 2004. Final approval of the monitoring plan must be obtained from NMFS prior to implementation.
- b. The Corps, NMFS and CHERT shall review cross-section protocols. If necessary, cross-section protocols shall be modified based on input from CHERT, the Corps or NMFS. Proposals for modification will be circulated among these three entities and the permittees for review and comment prior to approval and implementation.
- c. Ensure that all required monitoring (cross-sectional, long-profile, and biological monitoring) is completed and that monitoring reports are provided to NMFS each year by January 15. Reports shall be submitted to:

Irma Lagomarsino
Supervisor Arcata Area Office
National Marine Fisheries Service
1655 Heindon Road
Arcata, CA 95521

Appendix N
Example Checklist

Gravel Bar Name:

NMFS POC for weekend operations: _____ phone #.

GOALS for the long-term management of the reach (to be added to the checklist during the inter-agency review).

- 1. Permits Required: date obtained
 - NC RWQCB
 - CaDFG
 - Ca Coastal Comm
 - USACE (LOP or mod)
 - SMARA
- 2. Extraction Method
- 3. Cut Stakes: In place on monitoring and extraction cross sections at the perimeter of the extraction area. Each stake should be labeled with depth of cut, pre-extraction elevation, cross-section no. and station no.

- 4. Corps, NMFS and CHERT are provided the 5-day notice that excavation is imminent at extraction area. The agencies are notified that the previous specific extraction area is complete. I.e. Extraction Area A is complete, we're moving on to Area
- 5. Bridge (if any) location and construction approved.
- 6. HEC-RAS model completed and provided to NMFS and the Corps or waived by the Corps.
- 7. Site inspected for grease/oil-spills. If observed, photograph and document the spill, implement the spill-cleanup plan and notify the Corps' and NMFS' points of contact.
- 8. Equipment parked above the OHWM during maintenance and after-hours.
- 9. Extraction surface has even, gradual, consistent slope. Free of depressions.
- 10. Tires and autobody debris, or other large metal debris removed from the gravel bar and disposed/recycled properly.
- 11. Water surface elevation marked at 35% flow. The identification marks shall be maintained throughout the extraction and post-extraction inspection.

- 13. Specific terms and conditions of LOP or Modification:
 - _a) _____
 - _b) _____
 - _c) _____
 - _____
 - _____

- 14. Maximum Volume

- 15. Survey/monitoring requirements: date

habitat survey
thalweg survey
pre extraction monitoring xsec
post extraction xsec
volume calcs
two flows marked at several locations to define 35% flow
Or Hec model to delineate 35% flow water surface elevation

- 16. Time Constraints: actual date
Pre extraction plans to agencies:

Start no earlier than 1 June.
End prior to 15 October
Bridge installed no earlier than 30 June/15 Sept
Bridge removed by 15 October
Post extraction plans due by 1 December

- 17. Other Considerations:
 - Skims above 35%?
 - Riffles? Size of Buffer?
 - Head of bar? Size of head of Bar buffer?