

Summary of Comments on PSP Received from Council Members and Public (originals available on request) 10/30/98 Cathy Bleier

| General | Introduction/Background | Mgmt/Execution and Tasks/Cost Estimate |
|---|---|---|
| <p>FORR: Vilms</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ RRWC not a Corps project and still needs mission ▪ RRWC, not Corps, would develop a Wshed plan ▪ PSP should support RRWC admin and work with NMFS on Corps projects | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adopt vs support RRWC ▪ Add language re: coho and steelhead listings ▪ Cite authorization for wshed study ▪ Council exists outside project ▪ Flooding issues are not major objective ▪ Modify Corps projects and reverse impacts to endangered species | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Delete all tasks not directly related to Corps projects (GIS, fisheries and wildlife index, flood assessment) |
| <p>Bailey:</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Add info about human impacts and developemnt /flood relationship; ▪ Protection and prevention, as well as restoration ▪ Healthy river is first priority ▪ “sustainable” economic activities ▪ Channel structure is dyamic | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ RRWC will partner with other entities and funding sources ▪ RRWCC must agree to any changes in PSP ▪ RRWCC will use technical support to develop restoration framework ▪ Community involvement will be ensured, not necessarily managed, by RRWCC ▪ Work groups ?? p 16 ▪ Less cost-effective measures may be preferable |
| <p>Sonoma County Manufacturing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Interest in continued water availability ▪ Focus on restoration study and planning, not open-ended ▪ Keep Section 7 separate ▪ Restoration measures be held to economic rule | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |
| <p>Bosanac: public</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Submit PSP ▪ More proactive language for RRWC role re: participation and mgmt ▪ Include Corps projects ▪ Amend and revise PSP as we go | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Norma Bartolomei, Mendocino Co Farm Bureau</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No extra funding for Corps ▪ NRCS is only viable lead agency | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Only include study and evaluation of dam and flood control operations, ▪ RRWCC admin staff, and ▪ supply studies and info to RRWCC |
| <p>Sonoma Co Planning: Paul Korry</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clarify authorities for fish protection and restoration ▪ Link development and land mgmt with flooding damage and habitat damage ▪ Address link with hcps, NCCP ▪ Focus on fish and mgmt for ecosystem and fish health ▪ RRWCC should review habitat values ratings ▪ GIS should include high potential sites for fish and development | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Focus mission on fishery restoration ▪ Redo scope of work to focus on fishery restoration, eg ID high habitat value sites, detrimental land use practices, and developing action plan and regulatory framework for habitat restoration |
| <p>DFG: Individual suggestions (not formal agency comments) – not section specific</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Improve fish passage at dams and fish protection at diversions ▪ Review water release effects at dams and criteria at L Mendocino ▪ Develop programs for protecting streambed, riparian habitat, bank stability ▪ Work with RCDs to reduce sediment and protect riparian habitat damage from ag practices, livestock | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Evaluate effects of gravel mining ▪ Train public works employees and fund more road maintenance ▪ Evaluate nutrients, riparian cover values, effects of dam storage, release ▪ Temperature study ▪ Fish population studies ▪ Evaluate waste water treatment plants ▪ Augment inventory of fish habitat and problems in tribs | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reduce erosion/sedimentation from roads, and facilities ▪ Remove Willow Water Co dam ▪ Repair roads and culverts ▪ Stabilize slides ▪ Develop maintenance plan for flood control project in Alex Valley to protect riparian habitat ▪ Restore channel meander in Laguna de Santa Rosa channels ▪ Set up gauging stations on major tribs |
| <p>State Agencies: informal comments (not section specific)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Support Council for one to several years and a couple tasks that Council can easily agree on ▪ Phase I should end w/scope of work for II, if still desired ▪ RRWCC first should develop conceptual framework followed by data gap analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Priority data review or development needs are stream flow on tribs, fish population baselines and trends, sediment budget, geomorphology; also riparian habitat assessment and temperature ▪ Evaluate effects of flow regimes on mainstem channel and w quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Include language allowing Council to develop scopes of work, review contracts, and withdraw support at any time ▪ Develop and implement monitoring protocols (fish popns) ▪ Promote volunteer monitoring (quality, erosion problems, etc) ▪ Inventory planned and completed restoration projects ▪ Fund GIS to combine biotic, abiotic and mgmt |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>info to evaluate effectiveness of regs and restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Accerelate DFG habitat and problem inventories Foster subbasin groups |
| <p>Chuck Williams, CNPS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Control invasive exotics | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Use volunteer |
| <p>SWRCB, Frank Roddy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fish population baseline and trends, focusing on select reaches | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |
| <p>California Coastal Conservancy, Richard Retecki</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Add tourism and recreation objectives ▪ GIS development should consider existing data sets, incorporate slope and soils info, and fish habitat projects ▪ Recognize Prop 204 funds and Conservancy ▪ Flood assessment should incorporate gravel pits | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Land use projections should be included |
| <p>Ellen Drell, Willits Environmental Center</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ PSP should focus on on going activities – not just restoration – including construction, logging, streamside vegetation removal, and disturbance in stream corridors ▪ Army Corps should not be lead; leaders should come from citizenry ▪ Caltrans, Northcoast Rail Authority and NW Pacific Railroad should be included in recovery planning | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |
| <p>Bob Anderson, United Winegrowers</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Language re: Corps and DOC facilitation of diverse community interests to develop restoration framework for future watershed protection and restoration efforts; ▪ In Phase I, Council will review issues, evaluate data and may recommend additional studies | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |
| <p>Eric Sunswheat, Humus Third World Compost</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Address composting opportunities for biosolids and possibility of expanding existing facilities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |
| <p>Bill Scanlan, Central Labor Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Suggested actions to manipulate flows to | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| eradicate predatory fish and clean up fish habitat | | |
| <p>Department of Conservation, Trinda Bedrossian</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ DMG mapping of mineral resources may affect planning and projections for future mining activities ▪ How would Council plans relate to HPC's, NCCP's or other planning and conservation vehicles? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ GIS efforts should include slope and stability ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Issues and tasks should consider effects of agriculture and development |

-