

SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT

San Francisco District PUBLIC NOTICE

PROJECT: Emergency Authorization for the Temporary Construction of an Estuary Channel at the San Lorenzo Lagoon

PUBLIC NOTICE NUMBER: 2012-00092S PUBLIC NOTICE DATE: 5 September, 2014

COMMENTS DUE DATE: 8:00 am, September 10, 2010

PERMIT MANAGER: Gregory Brown TELEPHONE: 415-503-6791

E-MAIL: Gregory.G.Brown@usace.army.mil

1. **INTRODUCTION**: The Santa Cruz Seaside Company (POC: Mr. Kris Reyes, 831-460-3345) has applied to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), San Francisco District, for a Department of the Army emergency permit to breach the sandbar at the mouth of the San Lorenzo River, in the City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz County, California. This Department of the Army permit application is being processed pursuant to the provisions of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. § 1344 *et seq.*) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, as amended (33 U.S.C. § 403 *et seq.*), and the South Pacific Division, Regulatory Program, Emergency Procedures (33 C.F.R. § 325.2(e)(4).

2. PROPOSED PROJECT:

Project Site Location: The project is located at the mouth of the San Lorenzo River. The nearest cross streets are Beach and Third Streets (Figure 1).

Project Site Description: The applicant's Santa Cruz Beach Boardwalk is situated on the northern shore of the San Lorenzo River along the beachfront of the City of Santa Cruz. The Santa Cruz Beach Boardwalk is a prime tourist attraction with more than thirty four rides including two National Historic Landmarks, the 1924 Giant Dipper wooden roller coaster and the 1911 Looff Carousel. The formation of a sandbar across the mouth of the San Lorenzo River has formed a lagoon (the San Lorenzo River Lagoon). The applicant last lowered the water in the Lagoon on July 8, 2014, however, recent rising water levels above 5 feet within the San Lorenzo River lagoon is

creating an imminent emergency situation by flooding the maintenance basement located at the eastern end of the Boardwalk, eliminating emergency access from its easternmost vehicular access point for both the Boardwalk and the City of Santa Cruz, degrading the Boardwalk's eastern basement wall, and increasing seepage the existing levee both through the base and underneath of the base. These conditions pose a risk to Boardwalk employees, guests and the public.

Project Description: As shown in the attached drawings (Figures 2 and 3), the applicant proposes to construct a temporary estuary channel through the existing sand bar (berm) to reduce water levels within the San Lorenzo Lagoon. The applicant has proposed to adopt recommended minimization measures developed by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Exhibit B.2 of application). These include the direction and angle of the channel, the direction of the construction of the estuary channel, the timing of the construction within the tidal cycle, water quality sampling protocols, on site monitoring by a qualified fisheries biologist, water surface elevation monitoring so that water surface elevation should not be reduced below the 5-foot mark at the staff place on the train trestle bridge, and the rebuilding of the sandbar at the river mouth to prevent ocean wave overtopping.

The applicant anticipates that these conditions will persist through the fall/early winter and therefore may require additional emergency response actions until the San Lorenzo River returns to more normal flows or a long term management protocol is adopted.

Basic Project Purpose: The basic project purpose comprises the fundamental, essential, or irreducible purpose of the project, and is used by USACE to determine whether the project is water dependent. The basic project purpose is to reduce flooding associated with water levels above 5 feet within the San Lorenzo Lagoon.

Overall Project Purpose: The overall project purpose serves as the basis for the Section 404(b)(1) alternatives analysis, and is determined by further defining the basic project purpose in a manner that more specifically describes the applicant's goals for the project, while allowing a reasonable range of alternatives to be analyzed. The overall project purpose is to reduce flooding within the San Lorenzo Lagoon and thereby protecting the applicant private property and providing public safety.

Project Impacts: The proposed emergency construction of an estuary channel would result in the discharge of 200 cubic yards of beach sands within jurisdictional waters of the U.S. Subsequent construction events may occur.

Proposed Mitigation: The applicant has not proposed any mitigation for the emergency estuary channel construction.

Project Alternatives: The applicant has explored alternatives to the construction of a temporary estuary channel. The alternative of pumping of the San Lorenzo Lagoon was analyzed and determined that it would required twenty-four hour monitoring, refueling on the beach and would be a procedure best implemented by the City of Santa Cruz. The applicant is actively analyzing a long-term solution of new alternative dewatering systems to replace the current de-watering wells and sump pump stations for their basements.

3. STATE AND LOCAL APPROVALS:

Water Quality Certification: State water quality certification or a waiver is a prerequisite for the issuance of a Department of the Army Permit to conduct any activity which may result in a fill or pollutant discharge into waters of the United States, pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act of 1972, as amended (33 U.S.C. § 1341 et seq.). The applicant has recently submitted an application to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) to obtain water quality certification for the project. No Department of the Army Permit will be issued until the applicant obtains the

required certification or a waiver of certification. A waiver can be explicit, or it may be presumed, if the RWQCB fails or refuses to act on a complete application for water quality certification within 60 days of receipt, unless the District Engineer determines a shorter or longer period is a reasonable time for the RWQCB to act.

Water quality issues should be directed to the Executive Officer, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region, 895 Aerovista Place, Suite 101, San Luis Obispo, California 93401, by the close of the comment period.

Coastal Zone Management: Section 307(c) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 1456(c) et seq.), requires a non-Federal applicant seeking a federal license or permit to conduct any activity occurring in or affecting the coastal zone to obtain a Consistency Certification that indicates the activity conforms with the State's coastal zone management program. Generally, no federal license or permit will be granted until the appropriate State agency has issued a Consistency Certification or has waived its right to do so. Since the project occurs in the coastal zone or may affect coastal zone resources, the applicant has applied for a Consistency Determination from the California Coastal Commission to comply with this requirement.

Coastal zone management issues should be directed to the District Manager, California Coastal Commission, Central Coast District Office, 725 Front Street, Suite 300, Santa Cruz, California 95060-4508, by the close of the comment period.

Other Local Approvals: The applicant has applied for the following additional governmental authorizations for the project: the California Department of Fish and Wildlife.

4. COMPLIANCE WITH VARIOUS FEDERAL LAWS:

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA): Upon review of the Department of the Army permit application and other supporting documentation, USACE has made a *preliminary* determination that the project neither qualifies for a Categorical Exclusion nor requires the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for the purposes of NEPA. At the conclusion of the public comment period, USACE will assess the environmental impacts of the project in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. §§

4321-4347), the Council on Environmental Quality's Regulations at 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508, and USACE Regulations at 33 C.F.R. Part 325. The final NEPA analysis will normally address the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts that result from regulated activities within the jurisdiction of USACE and other non-regulated activities USACE determines to be within its purview of Federal control and responsibility to justify an expanded scope of analysis for NEPA purposes. The final NEPA analysis will be incorporated in the documentation that provides the rationale for issuing or denying a Department of the Army Permit for the project. The final NEPA analysis and supporting documentation will be on file with the San Francisco District, Regulatory Division.

Endangered Species Act (ESA): Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seg.), requires Federal agencies to consult with either the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to ensure actions authorized, funded, or undertaken by the agency are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any Federally-listed species or result in the adverse modification of designated critical habitat. As the Federal lead agency for this project, USACE has conducted a review of the California Natural Diversity Data Base, digital maps prepared by USFWS and NMFS depicting critical habitat, and other information provided by the applicant, to determine the presence or absence of such species and critical habitat in the project area. Based on this review. USACE has made a preliminary determination that the following Federally-listed species, steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss), coho salmon (O. kisutch), and tidewater goby (Eucyclogobius newberryi) and designated critical habitat are present at the project location. Steelhead and tidewater goby are present and coho may be present in the lagoon. Summer lagoon breaching is likely to result in take of all three due to direct mechanical impacts, being washed out to sea, or drastic changes in water quality that may occur and may adversely affect critical habitat.

To address project related impacts to these species and designated critical habitat, USACE will initiate formal consultation with USFWS and NMFS, pursuant to Section 7(a) of the Act. Any required consultation must be concluded prior to the issuance of a Department of the Army Permit for the project.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA): Section 305(b)(2) of the

MSFCMA of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 1801 et seq.), requires Federal agencies to consult with the NMFS on all proposed actions authorized, funded, or undertaken by the agency that may adversely affect essential fish habitat (EFH). EFH is defined as those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity. EFH is designated only for those species managed under a Federal Fisheries Management Plan (FMP), such as the Pacific Groundfish FMP, the Coastal Pelagics FMP, and the Pacific Coast Salmon FMP. As the Federal lead agency for this project, USACE has conducted a review of digital maps prepared by NMFS depicting EFH to determine the presence or absence of EFH in the project area. Based on this review, USACE has made a *preliminary* determination that EFH is present at the project location or in its vicinity, and that the critical elements of EFH may be adversely affected by project implementation. To address project related impacts to EFH, USACE will initiate consultation with NMFS, pursuant to Section 305(5(b)(2) of the Act. Any required consultation must be concluded prior to the issuance of a Department of the Army Permit for the project.

Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act Section 302 of the MPRS of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 1432 et seq.), authorizes the Secretary of Commerce, in part, to designate areas of ocean waters, such as the Cordell Bank, Gulf of the Farallones, and Monterey Bay, as National Marine Sanctuaries for the purpose of preserving or restoring such areas for their conservation, recreational, ecological, or aesthetic values. After such designation, activities in sanctuary waters authorized under other authorities are valid only if the Secretary of Commerce certifies that the activities are consistent with Title III of the Act. No Department of the Army Permit will be issued until the applicant obtains the required certification or permit. The project does not occur in sanctuary waters, and a preliminary review by USACE indicates the project would not likely affect sanctuary resources. This presumption of effect, however, remains subject to a final determination by the Secretary of Commerce, or his designee.

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA): Section 106 of the NHPA of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 470 et seq.), requires Federal agencies to consult with the appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Section 106 of the Act further requires Federal agencies to consult with the appropriate

Tribal Historic Preservation Officer or any Indian tribe to take into account the effects of their undertakings on properties, including traditional cultural properties, trust resources, and sacred sites, to which Indian tribes attach historic, religious, and cultural The project has two National Historic significance. Landmarks, the Giant Dipper Roller Coaster and the Looff Carousel. Based on an initial review, USACE has made a preliminary determination that the project either has no potential to cause effects to these resources or has no effect to these resources. USACE will render a final determination on the need for consultation at the close of the comment period, taking into account any comments provided by the State Historic Preservation Officer, the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and Native American Nations or other tribal governments. Any required consultation must be concluded prior to the issuance of a Department of the Army Permit for the project. If unrecorded archaeological resources are discovered during project implementation, those operations affecting such resources will be temporarily suspended until USACE concludes Section 106 consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer to take into account any project related impacts to those resources.

- 5. COMPLIANCE WITH THE SECTION 404(b)(1) GUIDELINES: Projects resulting in discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States must comply with the Guidelines promulgated by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency under Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1344(b)). An evaluation pursuant to the Guidelines indicates the project is dependent on location in or proximity to waters of the United States to achieve the basic project purpose. This conclusion raises the (rebuttable) presumption of the availability of a practicable alternative to the project that would result in less adverse impact to the aquatic ecosystem, while not causing other major adverse environmental consequences. The applicant has submitted an analysis of project alternatives which is being reviewed by USACE.
- 6. **PUBLIC INTEREST EVALUTION**: The decision on whether to issue a Department of the Army Permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impacts, including cumulative impacts, of the project and its intended use on the public interest. Evaluation of the probable impacts requires a careful weighing of the public interest factors relevant in each particular case. The benefits that may accrue from the project must be

balanced against any reasonably foreseeable detriments of project implementation. The decision on permit issuance will, therefore, reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. Public interest factors which may be relevant to the decision process include conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, cultural values, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership, and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people.

- 7. **CONSIDERATION OF COMMENTS**: USACE is soliciting comments from the public; Federal, State and local agencies and officials; Native American Nations or other tribal governments; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of the project. All comments received by USACE will be considered in the decision on whether to issue, modify, condition, or deny a Department of the Army Permit for the project. To make this decision, comments are used to assess impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, and other environmental or public interest factors addressed in a final environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. Comments are also used to determine the need for a public hearing and to determine the overall public interest of the project.
- 8. **SUBMITTING COMMENTS**: During the specified comment period, interested parties may submit written comments to Gregory Brown, San Francisco District, Regulatory Division, 1455 Market Street, 16th Floor, San Francisco, California 94103-1398; comment letters should cite the project name, applicant name, and public notice number to facilitate review by the Regulatory Permit Manager. Comments may include a request for a public hearing on the project prior to a determination on the Department of the Army permit application; such requests shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a All substantive comments will be public hearing. forwarded to the applicant for resolution or rebuttal. Additional project information or details on any subsequent project modifications of a minor nature may be obtained from the applicant and/or agent, or by contacting the Regulatory Permit Manager by telephone or e-mail cited in the public notice letterhead. An electronic version of this public notice may be viewed under the Public Notices tab on the USACE website:

http://www.spn.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.